arXiv:1412.1810v4 [math.DG] 15 Dec 2015

New progress in the inverse problem in the calculus of variations

Thoan Do and Geoff Prince *
Department of Mathematics and Statistics, La Trobe University,
Victoria 3086, Australia.
* The Australian Mathematical Sciences Institute,
c/o The University of Melbourne,
Victoria 3010, Australia.

December 17, 2015

Abstract. We present a new class of solutions for the inverse problem in the calculus of variations in arbitrary dimension n. This is the problem of determining the existence and uniqueness of Lagrangians for systems of n second order ordinary differential equations. We also provide a number of new theorems concerning the inverse problem using exterior differential systems theory (EDS). Concentrating on the differential step of the EDS process, our new results provide a significant advance in the understanding of the inverse problem in arbitrary dimension. In particular, we indicate how to generalise Jesse Douglas's famous solution for $n = 2$. We give some non-trivial examples in dimensions 2,3 and 4. We finish with a new classification scheme for the inverse problem in arbitrary dimension.

1 Introduction: the inverse problem

The inverse problem in the calculus of variations can be expressed as follows. Given a system of second-order ordinary differential equations

$$\ddot{x}^a = F^a(t, x^b, \dot{x}^b), \quad a, b = 1, \ldots, n,$$

the question is whether the solutions of this system are also the solutions of the Euler Lagrange equations,

$$\frac{d}{dt}\Big(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}^a}\Big) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial x^a} = 0,$$

for some regular Lagrangian $L(t, x^b, \dot{x}^b)$. This problem was first proposed by Helmholtz [11] in 1887. He considered whether the equations *in the form presented* were Euler-Lagrange. In the case of single equations Helmholtz found necessary conditions for this to be true. It is not well-known that Sonin [23] solved this one-dimensional problem the previous year in a more general form, although Hirsch [12] is credited with the so-called multiplier version of the inverse problem,

*Email: t4do@students.latrobe.edu.au, geoff@amsi.org.au

which is the focus of this paper. He addressed the uniqueness and existence of a non-degenerate multiplier matrix, $g_{ab}(t, x^c, \dot{x}^c)$, satisfying

$$g_{ab}(\ddot{x}^b - F^b) \equiv \frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}^a}\right) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial x^a}.$$

Necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of a regular Lagrangian, according to Douglas [9] and to Sarlet [19], are that this multiplier satisfy

$$g_{ab} = g_{ba}, \quad \Gamma(g_{ab}) = g_{ac}\Gamma^c_b + g_{bc}\Gamma^c_a, \quad g_{ac}\Phi^c_b = g_{bc}\Phi^c_a, \quad \frac{\partial g_{ab}}{\partial \dot{x}^c} = \frac{\partial g_{ac}}{\partial \dot{x}^b}, \tag{1}$$

where

$$\Gamma^a_b := -\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial F^a}{\partial \dot{x}^b}, \quad \Phi^a_b := -\frac{\partial F^a}{\partial x^b} - \Gamma^c_b\Gamma^a_c - \Gamma(\Gamma^a_b),$$

and where

$$\Gamma := \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \dot{x}^a\frac{\partial}{\partial x^a} + F^a\frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{x}^a}.$$

These conditions, along with non-degeneracy, are commonly referred to as the *Helmholtz conditions*. If one or more matrices g_{ab} are found that satisfy these four Helmholtz conditions, then there exists one (or more) Lagrangians related to these matrices by the expression,

$$\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial \dot{x}^a \partial \dot{x}^b} = g_{ab}.$$

Integrating this for a given g_{ab} we see that the related Lagrangian L is only defined up to the addition of a total time derivative of an arbitrary function of t, x^a.

The multiplier problem was completely solved by Douglas in 1941 for the two dimensional case (see [9]), that is, a pair of second order equations on the plane. He divided the problem into four primary cases (I to IV) according to the properties of the matrix Φ^a_b. The corresponding solution for higher dimensions, even for dimension 3, remained unsolved until the late nineteen nineties when some arbitrary n subcases were elaborated [5, 20, 3].

The current attacks on this problem, dating back to the 1980s, involve the creation and use of various differential geometric tools. We offer the reader the following references which give some perspective on these developments [3, 6, 7, 10, 14, 16, 17, 21].

The current situation, in the framework of [7], is that the following dimension n situations are solved in the sense of Douglas.

1. Φ is a multiple of the identity. The multiplier is determined by n arbitrary functions each of $n+1$ variables. This is the extension of Douglas's case I. See [2, 3, 20].

2. Φ is diagonalisable with distinct eigenvalues and "integrable eigenspaces". The multiplier is determined by n arbitrary functions each of 2 variables. This is the extension of Douglas's case IIa1. See [5, 1].

3. There are many non-existence results depending on technical conditions on Φ. See [18].

In the context of our current paper Anderson and Thompson [3] made a significant breakthrough by applying the method of exterior differential systems (EDS) to the inverse problem. They illustrated the effectiveness of the method by many concrete examples. In their paper, however

only Douglas's case I where Φ is a multiple of the identity was generalised to arbitrary n. Aldridge [1] and Aldridge et al [2] pursued this EDS approach further using the connection of Massa and Pagani [15]. While the thesis [1] re-investigated Douglas' case IIa2 in dimension 2 and recovered case IIa1 for arbitrary dimension, the paper [2] focused only on the first step of EDS process, the so-called differential ideal step.

In this paper we give results for the cases where some eigen co-distributions are integrable and some are not by using exterior differential system (EDS) theory. We provide a number of quite general theorems and propositions concerning the inverse problem via EDS in Section 3.3.2. Among these interesting results, Theorem 3.12 is particularly useful in indicating non-existence cases. In addition, it suggests a scheme to replace the four cases of Douglas in the treatment of the arbitrary dimension problem. Up until now it has not been obvious how to do this because of the low dimensionality, however we find that when Φ is diagonalisable the integrability of the co-distributions must be considered first and the termination of the differential step second. Our scheme is detailed in Section 6. In Section 4 we particularly solve the inverse problem for the system of second-order ODE in arbitrary dimension of which Φ is diagonalisable with distinct eigenvalues and exactly one co-distribution is non-integrable. This can be seen as an extension of Douglas's case IIa2 in which, for $n = 2$, one co-distribution is integrable and one is not. The results are given in Theorem 4.1.

Of course, in general, Φ will not be diagonalisable over the reals. But when it is, the eigenvalues will generally be distinct and none of the eigen co-distributions will be integrable. Even in this situation there are cases where solutions exist. Indeed, it is remarkable that so many of the cases are well populated with variational equations. We will illustrate our own results with a number of examples in Section 5.

2 Geometric formulation

We now briefly describe the basics of our geometrical calculus, for more details we refer to the book chapter [14]. We are analysing a system of second-order ordinary differential equations

$$\ddot{x}^a = F^a(t, x^b, \dot{x}^b), \quad a, b = 1, \dots, n, \tag{2}$$

for some smooth F^a on a manifold M with generic local coordinates (x^a). The evolution space $E := \mathbb{R} \times TM$ has adapted coordinates $(t, x^a, \dot{x}^a)$ or (t, x^a, u^a). We construct on E from the system of equations (2) a second-order differential equation field (SODE):

$$\Gamma := \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + u^a \frac{\partial}{\partial x^a} + F^a \frac{\partial}{\partial u^a}. \tag{3}$$

The SODE produces on E a nonlinear connection with components $\Gamma^a_b := -\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial F^a}{\partial u^b}$. The evolution space E has a number of natural structures. The contact and vertical structures are combined in the *vertical endomorphism* S, a (1,1) tensor field on E, with coordinate expression $S := V_a \otimes \theta^a$, where $V_a := \frac{\partial}{\partial u^a}$ are the vertical basis fields and $\theta^a := dx^a - u^a dt$ are local contact forms. It is shown in [6] the first order deformation $\mathcal{L}_\Gamma S$ has eigenvalues $0, 1$ and -1. The eigenspaces corresponding to eigenvalue $0, 1$ and -1 are $Sp\{\Gamma\}$, the *vertical subspace* $V(E) := Sp\{V_a\}$ of the tangent space and the *horizontal subspace* $H(E) := Sp\{H_a\}$ respectively, where

$$H_a = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^a} - \Gamma^b_a \frac{\partial}{\partial u^b}.$$

So an adapted local basis on E is given by $\{\Gamma, V_a, H_a\}$ with dual basis $\{dt, \psi^a, \theta^a\}$ where

$$\psi^a := du^a - F^a dt + \Gamma^a_b \theta^b.$$

Following directly from the definitions of Γ, V_a and H_a given in [6, 17] we note:

$$[\Gamma, H_a] = \Gamma^b_a H_b + \Phi^b_a V_b, \quad [\Gamma, V_a] = -H_a + \Gamma^b_a V_b, \quad [V_a, V_b] = 0,$$

$$[H_a, V_b] = -\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial^2 F^c}{\partial u^a \partial u^b}\right) V_c = V_b(\Gamma^c_a) V_c = V_a(\Gamma^c_b) V_c = [H_b, V_a],$$

and

$$[H_a, H_b] = R^d_{ab} V_d,$$

where the curvature of the connection is defined by

$$R^d_{ab} := \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial^2 F^d}{\partial x^a \partial u^b} - \frac{\partial^2 F^d}{\partial x^b \partial u^a} + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial F^c}{\partial u^a}\frac{\partial^2 F^d}{\partial u^c \partial u^b} - \frac{\partial F^c}{\partial u^b}\frac{\partial^2 F^d}{\partial u^c \partial u^a}\right)\right).$$

Following [22] we briefly introduce vector fields and forms *along the projection* $\pi^0_1 : E \to \mathbb{R} \times M$. Vector fields along π^0_1 are sections of the pull back bundle $\pi_1^{0*}(T(\mathbb{R} \times M))$ over E. $\mathfrak{X}(\pi^0_1)$ denotes the $C^\infty(E)$ module of such vector fields. In the current context see [13].

With $X := X^0 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + X^a \frac{\partial}{\partial x^a}$ and $dt, \theta^a \in \mathfrak{X}^*(\pi^0_1)$ we define the following lifts from $\mathfrak{X}(\pi^0_1)$ to $\mathfrak{X}(E)$:

$$X^\Gamma := dt(X)\Gamma, \quad X^H := \theta^a(X) H_a, \quad X^V := \theta^a(X) V_a.$$

For an intrinsic treatment of these various lifts see [14].

Any vector $W \in \mathfrak{X}(E)$ can then be uniquely decomposed as

$$W = (W_\Gamma)^\Gamma + (W_H)^H + (W_V)^V$$

where $W_\Gamma, W_H, W_V \in \mathfrak{X}(\pi^0_1)$ with

$$W_\Gamma := dt(W)(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + u^a \frac{\partial}{\partial x^a}),$$
$$W_H := dt(W)\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + dx^a(W)\frac{\partial}{\partial x^a}, \quad W_V := \psi^a(W)\frac{\partial}{\partial x^a}.$$

The *dynamical covariant derivative* ∇ and the *Jacobi endomorphism* Φ that appear in (1) are defined along the tangent bundle projection through the following formulas

$$[\Gamma, X^V] = -X^H + (\nabla X)^V, \qquad [\Gamma, X^H] = (\nabla X)^H + \Phi(X)^V.$$

In coordinates,

$$\Phi = \Phi^a_b \frac{\partial}{\partial x^a} \otimes \theta^b,$$

with Φ^a_b as defined before. ∇ can be extended to act on forms along the projection and is given in coordinates by

$$\nabla f = \Gamma(f) \text{ on functions}, \qquad \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial x^a} = \Gamma^b_a \frac{\partial}{\partial x^b}, \qquad \nabla \theta^a = -\Gamma^a_b \theta^b.$$

Massa and Pagani [15] introduced a linear connection on E by imposing some natural requirements. If we denote this connection by $\hat{\nabla}$, these are that the covariant differentials $\hat{\nabla}dt, \hat{\nabla}S$ and $\hat{\nabla}\Gamma$ are all zero and that the vertical sub-bundle is flat. In coordinates, they are:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\hat{\nabla}_\Gamma \Gamma = 0, && \hat{\nabla}_\Gamma H_a = \Gamma^b_a H_b, && \hat{\nabla}_\Gamma V_a = \Gamma^b_a V_b,\\
&\hat{\nabla}_{H_a} \Gamma = 0, && \hat{\nabla}_{H_a} H_b = \frac{\partial \Gamma^c_a}{\partial u^b} H_c, && \hat{\nabla}_{H_a} V_b = \frac{\partial \Gamma^c_a}{\partial u^b} V_c,\\
&\hat{\nabla}_{V_a} \Gamma = 0, && \hat{\nabla}_{V_a} H_b = 0, && \hat{\nabla}_{V_a} V_b = 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

With any linear connection, $\tilde{\nabla}$ (not to be confused with the dynamical covariant derivative), on a manifold M there is an associated shape map and torsion (see [13]). The shape map $A_Z : \mathfrak{X}(M) \to \mathfrak{X}(M)$, as given in Jerie and Prince [13], is defined as

$$A_Z(\xi) := \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} \tau_t^{-1}(\zeta_{t*}\xi), \qquad \text{where } \xi \in T_xM.$$

where $\tau_t : T_xM \to T_{\zeta_t(x)}M$ is the parallel transport map, defining along the flow $\{\zeta_t\}$.
More useful representations of this shape map on M are

$$\begin{aligned}
A_X Y &= \tilde{\nabla}_X Y - [X, Y],\\
A_X Y &= \tilde{\nabla}_Y X + T(X, Y)
\end{aligned}$$

where $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ and the torsion is defined as usual by

$$T(X, Y) := \tilde{\nabla}_X Y - \tilde{\nabla}_Y X - [X, Y].$$

The torsion, $\hat{T}$, of the Massa and Pagani connection captures all the important properties of the SODE Γ:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\hat{T}(\Gamma, V_a) = H_a,\ \hat{T}(\Gamma, H_a) = -\Phi^b_a V_b,\ \hat{T}(V_a, V_b) = 0,\\
&\hat{T}(V_a, H_b) = 0,\ \hat{T}(H_a, H_b) = -R^c_{ab} V_c.
\end{aligned}$$

The commutators of the vector fields on E can be written in terms of connection $\hat{\nabla}$ and the shape map as

$$[\Gamma, X^V] = \hat{\nabla}_\Gamma X^V - A_\Gamma(X^V), \tag{5a}$$
$$[\Gamma, X^H] = \hat{\nabla}_\Gamma X^H - A_\Gamma(X^H), \tag{5b}$$
$$[X^V, Y^V] = \hat{\nabla}_{X^V} Y^V - \hat{\nabla}_{Y^V} X^V, \tag{5c}$$
$$[X^V, Y^H] = \hat{\nabla}_{X^V} Y^H - \hat{\nabla}_{Y^H} X^V, \tag{5d}$$
$$[X^H, Y^H] = \hat{\nabla}_{X^H} Y^H - \hat{\nabla}_{Y^H} X^H + R(X^H, Y^H). \tag{5e}$$

It can be seen that $\hat{\nabla}_\Gamma$ and A_Γ replace the role of ∇ and Φ respectively.

The curvature tensor R in (5e) is a (1,2) tensor field on E defined as follows

$$R := R^c_{ab}(\theta^a \wedge \theta^b) \otimes V_c.$$

We will make no notational distinction between Φ acting along the tangent bundle projection and acting as an endomorphism on E as $\Phi = \Phi^b_a\ V_b \otimes \theta^a$.

In the next section we briefly sketch the ideas of the exterior differential systems approach, specifically in the context of the inverse problem.

3 Helmholtz conditions and the EDS process

3.1 The Helmholtz conditions

For a given regular Lagrangian L, there is a unique vector field, called the *Euler-Lagrange field*, Γ on E such that

$$\Gamma \lrcorner\, d\theta_L = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad dt(\Gamma) = 1.$$

where θ_L is the *Poincaré-Cartan 1-form*,

$$\theta_L := Ldt + dL \circ S = Ldt + \frac{\partial L}{\partial u^a}\theta^a.$$

This vector field is a SODE, and the equations satisfied by its integral curves are the Euler-Lagrange equations for L. By careful observation of the properties of the Cartan 2-form $d\theta_L$ the following theorem from [6] gives a transparent geometric version of the Helmholtz conditions.

Theorem 3.1. *[6] Given a SODE Γ, the necessary and sufficient conditions for there to be Lagrangian, whose Euler-Lagrange field is Γ, are that there should exist a 2-form Ω satisfying*

$$\Omega \text{ is of maximal rank, i.e., } \wedge^n \Omega \neq 0, \tag{6}$$
$$\Omega(V_a, V_b) = 0, \qquad \forall\ V_a, V_b \in V(E), \tag{7}$$
$$\Gamma \lrcorner\, \Omega = 0, \tag{8}$$
$$d\Omega = 0. \tag{9}$$

We will briefly show how the Helmholtz conditions in (1) arise from this theorem as follows. In Crampin, Prince and Thompson [6] it is shown by using $\mathcal{L}_r\Omega = 0$ (from (8) and (9)) that

$$\Omega = g_{ab}\psi^a \wedge \theta^b, \quad |g_{ab}| \neq 0. \tag{10}$$

The condition (9) gives

$$d\Omega(X, Y, X) = 0 \text{ for all } X, Y, Z \in \{\Gamma, V_a, H_b\}. \tag{11}$$

Applying the formula for the exterior derivative of a 2-form Ω,

$$d\Omega(X, Y, Z) = \sum_{cyclic\ X,Y,Z} \left(X(\Omega(Y, Z)) - \Omega([X, Y], Z)\right), \tag{12}$$

to (11) we find that $d\Omega(V_a, V_b, V_c) = 0$ is trivial and

$$\begin{aligned}
d\Omega(\Gamma, V_a, V_b) = 0 \quad &\Leftrightarrow \quad g_{ab} = g_{ba}, \\
d\Omega(\Gamma, H_a, H_b) = 0 \quad &\Leftrightarrow \quad g_{ac}\Phi^c_b = g_{bc}\Phi^c_a, \\
d\Omega(\Gamma, V_a, H_b) = 0 \quad &\Leftrightarrow \quad \Gamma(g_{ab}) - g_{ac}\Gamma^c_b - g_{bc}\Gamma^c_a = 0, \\
d\Omega(V_a, V_b, H_c) = 0 \quad &\Leftrightarrow \quad \frac{\partial g_{ab}}{\partial \dot{x}^c} = \frac{\partial g_{ac}}{\partial \dot{x}^b}.
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Therefore $d\Omega = 0$ is equivalent to the Helmholtz conditions in (1) since the two remaining conditions in (11) are the consequences of the four conditions in (13) as shown in this context by Aldridge [1].

In this paper we concentrate on the case where the matrix representation, $\mathbf{\Phi} = (\Phi^a_b)$, of Φ is diagonalisable. As in [7] and [21] this corresponds to Douglas' case I, case IIa or case III. Our choice of the basis for $\mathfrak{X}(E)$ to work with is $\{\Gamma, X^V_a, X^H_a\}$, where X^V_a and X^H_a are vertical lifts and horizontal lifts of eigenvectors X_a of diagonalisable $\mathbf{\Phi}$ on the tangent bundle projection. Let $X_a = X^b_a \frac{\partial}{\partial x^b} \in \mathfrak{X}(\pi^0_1)$ be eigenvectors of $\mathbf{\Phi}$ corresponding to eigenvalues λ_a, then their vertical lifts and their horizontal lifts are $X^V_a := X^b_a V_b$ and $X^H_a := X^b_a H_b$ respectively. The lifted eigenforms ϕ^{aV} and ϕ^{aH} of eigenforms ϕ^a together with dt form the dual basis $\{dt, \phi^{aV}, \phi^{aH}\}$ to the basis $\{\Gamma, X^V_a, X^H_a\}$. This means that we will look for a non-degenerate closed 2-form $\omega \in \Sigma := Sp\{\phi^{aV} \wedge \phi^{bH}\}$, that is, $\omega = r_{ab}\phi^{aV} \wedge \phi^{bH}$, instead of the one in (10). Once the r_{ab}'s are found, then the multiplier g_{ab} is given by

$$g_{ab} = r_{cd}\phi^c_a \phi^d_b, \tag{14}$$

where ϕ^c_a and ϕ^d_b are components of eigenforms ϕ^c and ϕ^d respectively.

The commutators identities regarding the basis $\{\Gamma, X^V_a, X^H_a\}$ derived from (5a)-(5e) are as follows

$$[\Gamma, X^V_b] = \tau^{a\Gamma}_b X^V_a - X^H_b, \tag{15a}$$
$$[\Gamma, X^H_b] = \tau^{a\Gamma}_b X^H_a + \lambda_b \delta^a_b X^V_a = \tau^{a\Gamma}_b X^H_a + \lambda_b X^V_b, \tag{15b}$$
$$[X^V_b, X^V_c] = (\tau^{aV}_{bc} - \tau^{aV}_{cb}) X^V_a, \tag{15c}$$
$$[X^V_b, X^H_c] = \tau^{aV}_{bc} X^H_a - \tau^{aH}_{cb} X^V_a, \tag{15d}$$
$$[X^H_b, X^H_c] = (\tau^{aH}_{bc} - \tau^{aH}_{cb}) X^H_a + \phi^{aV}(R(X^H_b, X^H_c)) X^V_a, \tag{15e}$$

where the τ's are defined through

$$\begin{aligned}
&\hat{\nabla}_\Gamma X^V_b = \tau^{a\Gamma}_b X^V_a, && \hat{\nabla}_\Gamma X^H_b = \tau^{a\Gamma}_b X^H_a,\\
&\hat{\nabla}_{X^V_b} X^V_c = \tau^{aV}_{bc} X^V_a, && \hat{\nabla}_{X^V_b} X^H_c = \tau^{aV}_{bc} X^H_a,\\
&\hat{\nabla}_{X^H_b} X^V_c = \tau^{aH}_{bc} X^V_a, && \hat{\nabla}_{X^H_b} X^H_c = \tau^{aH}_{bc} X^H_a.
\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned}
A_\Gamma(X^V_b) &= A_\Gamma(X^a_b V_a) = X^a_b H_a = X^H_b,\\
A_\Gamma(X^H_b) &= A_\Gamma(X^a_b H_a) = -X^a_b \phi^c_a V_c = -\lambda_b X^c_b V_c = -\lambda_b X^V_b.
\end{aligned}$$

We will now calculate the exterior derivatives of the eigenforms ϕ^{aV} and ϕ^{aH} by using the identity $d\phi(X,Y) = X(\phi(Y)) - Y(\phi(X)) - \phi([X,Y])$ and the bracket identities (15a)-(15e) as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
d\phi^{aV}(\Gamma, X^V_b) &= -\phi^{aV}(-X^H_b + \tau^{d\Gamma}_b X^V_d) = -\tau^{a\Gamma}_b,\\
d\phi^{aV}(\Gamma, X^H_b) &= -\phi^{aV}(\tau^{d\Gamma}_b X^H_d + \lambda_b X^V_b) = -\lambda_b \delta^a_b,\\
d\phi^{aV}(X^V_b, X^V_c) &= -\phi^{aV}((\tau^{dV}_{bc} - \tau^{dV}_{cb}) X^V_d) = \tau^{aV}_{cb} - \tau^{aV}_{bc},\\
d\phi^{aV}(X^V_b, X^H_c) &= -\phi^{aV}(\tau^{dV}_{bc} X^H_d - \tau^{dH}_{cb} X^V_d) = \tau^{aH}_{cb},\\
d\phi^{aV}(X^H_b, X^H_c) &= -\phi^{aV}((\tau^{dH}_{bc} - \tau^{dH}_{cb}) X^H_d + \phi^{dV}(R(X^H_b, X^H_c)) X^V_d)\\
&= -\phi^{aV}(R(X^H_b, X^H_c)).
\end{aligned}$$

And similarly for $d\phi^{aH}$:

$$\begin{aligned} d\phi^{aH}(\Gamma, X_b^V) &= \delta_b^a, \quad d\phi^{aH}(\Gamma, X_b^H) = -\tau_b^{a\Gamma}, \\ d\phi^{aH}(X_b^V, X_c^V) &= 0, \quad d\phi^{aH}(X_b^V, X_c^H) = -\tau_{bc}^{aV}, \\ d\phi^{aH}(X_b^H, X_c^H) &= \tau_{cb}^{aH} - \tau_{bc}^{aH}. \end{aligned}$$

Putting these components together then we get:

$$\begin{aligned} d\phi^{aV} =& -\tau_b^{a\Gamma} dt \wedge \phi^{bV} - \lambda_a dt \wedge \phi^{aH} + \tau_{cb}^{aH} \phi^{bV} \wedge \phi^{cH} + \tau_{cb}^{aV} \phi^{bV} \wedge \phi^{cV} \\ & - \frac{1}{2} \phi^{aV}(R(X_b^H, X_c^H)) \phi^{bH} \wedge \phi^{cH}, \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

$$d\phi^{aH} = dt \wedge \phi^{aV} - \tau_b^{a\Gamma} dt \wedge \phi^{bH} + \tau_{cb}^{aH} \phi^{bH} \wedge \phi^{cH} - \tau_{bc}^{aV} \phi^{bV} \wedge \phi^{cH}, \tag{18}$$

We will use the following equivalent two Frobenius integrability conditions on a co-distribution $D_a^\perp = Sp\{\phi^{aV}, \phi^{aH}\}$ of (lifted) eigen-forms of $\mathbf{\Phi}$. We use the fact that $\omega^a := \phi^{aV} \wedge \phi^{aH}$ is a characterising form for $D_a^\perp$.

$$d\phi^{aV} \equiv 0 \text{ and } d\phi^{aH} \equiv 0 \pmod{\phi^{aV}, \phi^{aH}}, \tag{19}$$

$$\text{equivalently, } d\omega^a = \xi_a^a \wedge \omega^a \quad (\text{no sum on } a), \ \xi_a^a \in \textstyle\bigwedge^1(E) \text{ i.e., } d\omega^a \equiv 0 \pmod{\omega^a}. \tag{20}$$

We note here that for the one-form ξ_a^a as given in (20),

$$d\xi_a^a \equiv 0 \pmod{\phi^{aV}, \phi^{aH}}. \tag{21}$$

By looking at the expressions for $d\phi^{aV}$ from (17) and $d\phi^{aH}$ from (18), together with (19) we get the following proposition.

Proposition 3.2. *The necessary and sufficient conditions for an eigen co-distribution $D_a^\perp = Sp\{\phi^{aV}, \phi^{aH}\}$ of $\mathbf{\Phi}$ to be (Frobenius) integrable are:*

$$\tau_b^{a\Gamma} = 0, \ \tau_{bc}^{aV} = 0, \ \tau_{bc}^{aH} = 0, \ \phi^{aV}(R(X_b^H, X_c^H)) = 0$$

for all $b, c \neq a$.

Definition 3.3. Let $D_a^\perp := Sp\{\phi^{aV}, \phi^{aH}\}$ be an eigen co-distribution of $\mathbf{\Phi}$. A 1-form $\alpha \in D_a^\perp$ is said to be *an integrable direction in $D_a^\perp$* if

$$d\alpha = \kappa \wedge \alpha, \tag{22}$$

for some 1-form κ.

It can be seen from the expressions of the exterior derivatives of ϕ^{aV} in (17) and ϕ^{aH} in (18) that the 1-forms ϕ^{aV} may be integrable but ϕ^{aH} can't be. Thus we can express an integrable direction α_a in $D_a^\perp$ as $\alpha_a = \phi^{aV} + B_a \phi^{aH}$.

Proposition 3.4. *The necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of an integrable direction $\alpha_a = \phi^{aV} + B_a \phi^{aH}$, that is $d\alpha_a = \kappa_a \wedge \alpha_a$, in an eigen co-distribution $D_a^\perp = Sp\{\phi^{aV}, \phi^{aH}\}$*

of $\mathbf{\Phi}$ *are, for* $b, c \neq a$*:*

$$\tau_b^{a\Gamma} = 0, \tag{23}$$
$$\tau_{cb}^{aH} - B_a \tau_{bc}^{aV} = 0, \tag{24}$$
$$\tau_{cb}^{aV} = \tau_{bc}^{aV}, \tag{25}$$
$$\phi^{aV}(R(X_b^H, X_c^H)) + B_a(\tau_{cb}^{aH} - \tau_{bc}^{aH}) = 0, \tag{26}$$
$$\Gamma(B_a) = (B_a)^2 + \lambda_a, \tag{27}$$
$$X_b^V(B_a) = B_a \tau_{ab}^{aV} - \tau_{ab}^{aH}, \tag{28}$$
$$X_b^H(B_a) = \phi^{aV}(R(X_b^H, X_a^H)) + B_a(B_a \tau_{ab}^{aV} - \tau_{ab}^{aH}), \tag{29}$$

and κ_a *is given by*

$$\begin{aligned}\kappa_a =& (\tau_a^{a\Gamma} + B_a)dt + (\tau_{ab}^{aV} - \tau_{ba}^{aV})\phi^{bV} + (B_a \tau_{ab}^{aV} - \tau_{ba}^{aH})\phi^{bH} \\ &+ (B_a \tau_{aa}^{aV} - X_a^V(B_a) - \tau_{aa}^{aH})\phi^{aH}.\end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

Proof. Let $\alpha_a = \phi^{aV} + B_a \phi^{aH} \in Sp\{\phi^{aV}, \phi^{aH}\}$ be an integrable direction. By definition 3.3,

$$d\alpha_a = \kappa_a \wedge \alpha_a.$$

We apply the formula for the exterior derivative of a 1-form:

$$d\alpha_a(X, Y) = X(\alpha_a(Y)) - Y(\alpha_a(X)) - \alpha_a([X, Y])$$

to the basis of vector fields $\{\Gamma, X_a^V, X_a^H - B_a X_a^V, X_b^V, X_b^H; \forall b \neq a\}$. $d\alpha_a(X, Y)$ is zero for basis pairs (X, Y) when neither is X_a^V. This gives, for $b, c \neq a$,

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= d\alpha_a(\Gamma, X_b^V) = -\tau_b^{a\Gamma}, \\
0 &= d\alpha_a(\Gamma, X_b^H) = B_a \tau_b^{a\Gamma}, \\
0 &= d\alpha_a(X_b^V, X_c^V) = \tau_{bc}^{aV} - \tau_{cb}^{aV}, \\
0 &= d\alpha_a(X_b^H, X_c^V) = B_a \tau_{cb}^{aV} - \tau_{bc}^{aH}, \\
0 &= d\alpha_a(X_b^H, X_c^H) = -(B_a(\tau_{bc}^{aH} - \tau^{aH}(B_a)^2, \\
0 &= d\alpha_a(X_b^V, X_a^H - B_a X_a^V) = X_b^V(B_a) - B_a \tau_{ab}^{aV} + \tau_{ab}^{aH}), \\
0 &= d\alpha_a(X_b^H, X_a^H - B_a X_a^V) = X_b^H(B_a) - B_a(B_a \tau_{ab}^{aV} - \tau_{ab}^{aH}) - \phi^{aV}(R(X_b^H, X_a^H)).
\end{aligned}$$

These immediately give the conditions (23)-(29). For the remainder we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
&d\alpha_a(\Gamma, X_a^V) = \tau_a^{a\Gamma} + B_a, \\
&d\alpha_a(X_b^V, X_a^V) = \tau_{ab}^{aV} - \tau_{ba}^{aV}, \\
&d\alpha_a(X_b^H, X_a^V) = B_a \tau_{ab}^{aV} - \tau_{ba}^{aH}, \\
&d\alpha_a(X_a^H - B_a X_a^V, X_a^V) = -X_a^V(B_a) + B_a \tau_{aa}^{aV} - \tau_{aa}^{aH}.
\end{aligned}$$

These give the formula for κ_a as in (30).
Conversely, if conditions (23)-(29) hold, then $d\alpha_a(X, Y) = 0$ for all basis pairs (X, Y) when neither is X_a^V and thus $d\alpha_a = \kappa_a \wedge \alpha_a$ as expected. □

3.2 Jacobi identities in the inverse problem

The τ's defined in (16) are not independent and we now examine their relations. To this end we apply the Jacobi identity

$$[X,[Y,Z]]+[Y,[Z,X]]+[Z,[X,Y]]=0$$

to the basis $\{\Gamma, X_a^V, X_b^H\}$. This results in the following identities which are very useful for later calculations.
(We remark in passing that the Bianchi identities for $\hat{\nabla}$ are redundant in the presence of the Jacobi identities (see [8]) and we do not consider them here.)

Applying this to the triple Γ, X_b^V and X_c^H we have,

$$[\Gamma,[X_b^V,X_c^H]]+[X_b^V,[X_c^H,\Gamma]]+[X_c^H,[\Gamma,X_b^V]]=0.$$

This gives following identities

$$\Gamma(\tau_{bc}^{aV})=-\tau_{bc}^{eV}\tau_e^{a\Gamma}-\tau_{bc}^{aH}+X_b^V(\tau_c^{a\Gamma})+\tau_c^{e\Gamma}\tau_{be}^{aV}+\tau_{ec}^{aV}\tau_b^{e\Gamma}, \tag{31a}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma(\tau_{cb}^{aH})=&-\tau_{cb}^{eH}\tau_e^{a\Gamma}+\tau_c^{e\Gamma}\tau_{eb}^{aH}-X_c^H(\tau_b^{a\Gamma})+\tau_b^{e\Gamma}\tau_{ce}^{aH}-X_b^V(\lambda_c)\delta_c^a\\&+\lambda_c(\tau_{cb}^{aV}-\tau_{bc}^{aV})+\lambda_a\tau_{bc}^{aV}+\phi^{aV}(R(X_b^H,X_c^H)).\end{aligned} \tag{31b}$$

Applying the Jacobi identity to the triple (Γ, X_b^V, X_c^V) gives no new identities since the expression that we get from this is

$$\Gamma(\tau_{bc}^{aV}-\tau_{cb}^{aV})=\tau_e^{a\Gamma}(\tau_{cb}^{eV}-\tau_{bc}^{eV})+\tau_{cb}^{aH}-\tau_{bc}^{aH}+X_b^V(\tau_c^{a\Gamma})-X_c^V(\tau_b^{a\Gamma})+\tau_c^{e\Gamma}(\tau_{be}^{aV}-\tau_{eb}^{aV})-\tau_b^{e\Gamma}(\tau_{ce}^{aV}-\tau_{ec}^{aV})$$

which can be obtained by using (31a).
Applying the Jacobi identity to other triples we get further identities:
for (Γ, X_b^H, X_c^H) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma(\phi^{aV}(R(X_b^H,X_c^H)))=&\tau_c^{e\Gamma}\phi^{aV}(R(X_b^H,X_e^H))+\tau_b^{e\Gamma}\phi^{aV}(R(X_e^H,X_c^H))\\&-\tau_e^{a\Gamma}\phi^{eV}(R(X_b^H,X_c^H))+(\lambda_c-\lambda_a)\tau_{bc}^{aH}\\&-(\lambda_b-\lambda_a)\tau_{cb}^{aH}+X_b^H(\lambda_c)\delta_c^a-X_C^H(\lambda_b)\delta_b^a,\end{aligned} \tag{32a}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma(\tau_{bc}^{aH}-\tau_{cb}^{aH})=&-(\tau_{bc}^{eH}-\tau_{cb}^{eH})\tau_e^{a\Gamma}+\tau_c^{e\Gamma}(\tau_{be}^{aH}-\tau_{eb}^{aH})-\lambda_c\tau_{cb}^{aV}\\&+\lambda_b\tau_{bc}^{aV})+X_c^H(\tau_b^{a\Gamma})-X_b^H(\tau_c^{a\Gamma})\\&-\tau_b^{e\Gamma}(\tau_{ce}^{aH}-\tau_{ec}^{aH})+\phi^{aV}(R(X_b^H,X_c^H)).\end{aligned} \tag{32b}$$

Substituting $\Gamma(\tau_{bc}^{aH})$ and $\Gamma(\tau_{cb}^{aH})$ from (31b) into (32b) we have

$$3\phi^{aV}(R(X_b^H,X_c^H))=X_b^V(\lambda_c)\delta_c^a-X_c^V(\lambda_b)\delta_b^a+\tau_{bc}^{aV}(\lambda_c-\lambda_a)-\tau_{cb}^{aV}(\lambda_b-\lambda_a). \tag{33}$$

For the triple (X_a^V, X_b^V, X_c^H) we have

$$X_a^V(\tau_{bc}^{dV})-X_b^V(\tau_{ac}^{dV})=\tau_{ac}^{eV}\tau_{be}^{dV}-\tau_{bc}^{eV}\tau_{ae}^{dV}+\tau_{ec}^{dV}(\tau_{ab}^{eV}-\tau_{ba}^{eV}), \tag{34a}$$

$$\begin{aligned}X_a^V(\tau_{cb}^{dH})-X_b^V(\tau_{ca}^{dH})=&X_c^H(\tau_{ab}^{dV}-\tau_{ba}^{dV})-\tau_{bc}^{eV}\tau_{ea}^{dH}+\tau_{ac}^{eV}\tau_{eb}^{dH}\\&+\tau_{ce}^{dH}(\tau_{ab}^{eV}-\tau_{ba}^{eV})+\tau_{ca}^{eH}(\tau_{be}^{dV}-\tau_{eb}^{dV})\\&-\tau_{cb}^{eH}(\tau_{ae}^{dV}-\tau_{ea}^{dV}).\end{aligned} \tag{34b}$$

Applying the Jacobi identity to (X_a^H, X_b^V, X_c^H) we get

$$\begin{aligned} X_a^H(\tau_{bc}^{dV}) - X_c^H(\tau_{ba}^{dV}) &= X_b^V(\tau_{ac}^{dH} - \tau_{ca}^{dH}) - \tau_{bc}^{eV}(\tau_{ae}^{dH} - \tau_{ea}^{dH}) \\ &\quad - \tau_{cb}^{eH}\tau_{ea}^{dV} - (\tau_{ca}^{eH} - \tau_{ac}^{eH})\tau_{be}^{dV} + \tau_{ba}^{eV}(\tau_{ce}^{dH} - \tau_{ec}^{dH}) + \tau_{ab}^{eH}\tau_{ec}^{dV}, \end{aligned} \tag{35a}$$

$$\begin{aligned} X_a^H(\tau_{cb}^{dH}) - X_c^H(\tau_{ab}^{dH}) &= X_b^V(\phi^{dV}(R(X_c^H, X_a^H))) + \tau_{bc}^{eV}\phi^{dV}(R(X_a^H, X_e^H)) \\ &\quad - \tau_{cb}^{eH}\tau_{ae}^{dH} + \phi^{eV}(R(X_c^H, X_a^H))(\tau_{be}^{dV} - \tau_{eb}^{dV}) \\ &\quad + \tau_{ab}^{eH}\tau_{ce}^{dH} - \tau_{eb}^{dH}(\tau_{ca}^{eH} - \tau_{ac}^{eH}) - \tau_{ba}^{eV}\phi^{dV}(R(X_c^H, X_e^H)). \end{aligned} \tag{35b}$$

For the triple (X_a^V, X_b^V, X_c^V) we get only one new identity

$$\begin{aligned} &X_a^V(\tau_{bc}^{dV} - \tau_{cb}^{dV}) + X_b^V(\tau_{ca}^{dV} - \tau_{ac}^{dV}) + X_c^V(\tau_{ab}^{dV} - \tau_{ba}^{dV}) \\ &= -(\tau_{ae}^{dV} - \tau_{ea}^{dV})(\tau_{bc}^{eV} - \tau_{cb}^{eV}) - (\tau_{be}^{dV} - \tau_{eb}^{dV})(\tau_{ca}^{eV} - \tau_{ac}^{eV}) \\ &\quad - (\tau_{ce}^{dV} - \tau_{ec}^{dV})(\tau_{ab}^{eV} - \tau_{ba}^{eV}). \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

Finally, applying the Jacobi identity to (X_a^H, X_b^H, X_c^H), we have two more identities

$$\begin{aligned} &X_a^H(\tau_{bc}^{dH} - \tau_{cb}^{dH}) + X_b^H(\tau_{ca}^{dH} - \tau_{ac}^{dH}) + X_c^H(\tau_{ab}^{dH} - \tau_{ba}^{dH}) \\ &= \tau_{ea}^{dV}\phi^{eV}(R(X_b^H, X_c^H)) + \tau_{eb}^{dV}\phi^{eV}(R(X_c^H, X_a^H)) \\ &\quad + \tau_{ec}^{dV}\phi^{eV}(R(X_a^H, X_b^H)) - (\tau_{ae}^{dH} - \tau_{ea}^{dH})(\tau_{bc}^{eH} - \tau_{cb}^{eH}) \\ &\quad - (\tau_{be}^{dH} - \tau_{eb}^{dH})(\tau_{ca}^{eH} - \tau_{ac}^{eH}) - (\tau_{ce}^{dH} - \tau_{ec}^{dH})(\tau_{ab}^{eH} - \tau_{ba}^{eH}), \end{aligned} \tag{37a}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &X_a^H(\phi^{dV}(R(X_b^H, X_c^H))) + X_b^H(\phi^{dV}(R(X_c^H, X_a^H))) \\ &\quad + X_c^H(\phi^{dV}(R(X_a^H, X_b^H))) = (\tau_{cb}^{eH} - \tau_{bc}^{eH})\phi^{dV}(R(X_a^H, X_e^H)) \\ &\quad + (\tau_{ac}^{eH} - \tau_{ca}^{eH})\phi^{dV}(R(X_b^H, X_e^H)) + (\tau_{ba}^{eH} - \tau_{ab}^{eH})\phi^{dV}(R(X_c^H, X_e^H)) \\ &\quad - \tau_{ae}^{dH}\phi^{eV}(R(X_b^H, X_c^H)) - \tau_{be}^{dH}\phi^{eV}(R(X_c^H, X_a^H)) - \tau_{ce}^{dH}\phi^{eV}(R(X_a^H, X_b^H)). \end{aligned} \tag{37b}$$

3.3 EDS and the inverse problem

The idea of looking for closed 2-forms leads to the use of EDS method for the inverse problem. The EDS references are the book [4] in general and the memoir [3] particularly for the inverse problem. In the first part of this section we give a brief description of the EDS approach to the inverse problem. The second part is devoted to significant results regarding the so-called differential ideal step of EDS process.

3.3.1 EDS approach to the inverse problem

According to Anderson and Thompson in [3], the EDS process for the inverse problem involves three steps. We start with the submodule of 2-forms Σ. The first step, namely the differential ideal step, is to look for a final submodule, Σ^f, of Σ that generates a differential ideal. The second step is to create an equivalent linear Pfaffian system for the closed 2-forms, and final step is to determine the generality of the solution of the problem by using the Cartan-Kähler theorem.

The differential ideal step is a recursive process which produces from $\Sigma^0 := \Sigma$ a sequence of submodules $\Sigma^0 \supset \Sigma^1 \supset \Sigma^2 \supset ....$ Each stage of the process involves calculating the exterior

derivative of forms belonging to some submodule Σ^i and then checking whether these 3-forms belong to the ideal generated by that submodule, which results in a restriction on the admissible 2-forms which form a submodule Σ^{i+1} and then the process is repeated from this submodule and so on until a final differential ideal, $\langle\Sigma^f\rangle$, is found, i.e. $\Sigma^f = \Sigma^{f+1}$, or the trivial set is reached. If it is impossible to create a maximal rank two-form at any stage during this process, the problem has no regular solution.

Suppose that a differential ideal generated by Σ^f is found, the next step in the EDS process is to express the problem of finding the closed 2-forms in Σ^f as a Pfaffian system. We will give a brief outline of this step, see [3], [14] or [4] for details.
Let the differential ideal $\langle\Sigma^f\rangle$ be generated by the set of 2-forms, not necessary simple, $\{\bar{\omega}^k\},\ k \in \{1, ..., d\}$, and calculate

$$d\bar{\omega}^k = \bar{\xi}^k_h \wedge \bar{\omega}^h.$$

where the $\bar{\xi}^i_j$'s are now known one-forms.

Since $\omega \in \Sigma^f = Sp\{\bar{\omega}^k\}$, $d\omega = \beta_j \wedge \bar{\omega}^j$, and because we are looking for those ω's such that $d\omega = 0$ the next step is to find all possible d-tuples of one forms $(\rho^A_k) = (\rho^A_1, ..., \rho^A_d)$ such that $\rho^A_k \wedge \bar{\omega}^k = 0$. Once all the d-tuples ρ^A_k $A \in \{1, ..., e\}$ have been found, the inverse problem becomes that of finding the functions r_k which satisfy the Pfaffian system of equations:

$$dr_k + r_h\bar{\xi}^h_k + p_A\rho^A_k = 0, \tag{38}$$

for some arbitrary functions p_A. The freedom in the choice of these p_A's will be then exploited in the final part of the EDS procedure.

The general method for finding the solution for this problem in EDS is to define an extended manifold $N = E \otimes \mathbb{R}^d \otimes \mathbb{R}^e$ with co-ordinates $\{t, x^a, u^b, r_k, p_A\},\ a, b \in \{1, ..., n\}, k \in \{1, ..., d\}, A \in \{1, ..., e\}$ and look for $2n + 1$ dimensional submanifolds that are sections over E and on which the one forms

$$\sigma_k := dr_k + r_h\bar{\xi}^h_k + p_A\rho^A_k$$

are zero.

To find these manifolds, σ_k are considered constraint forms for some distribution on N, and the problem becomes that of looking for integral manifolds arising from this distribution. To find these integral manifolds, we choose a basis of forms on N, $\{\alpha_m, \sigma_k, \pi_A\}$ where $\{\alpha_m\}$ are a pulled back basis for E, $\pi_A := dp_A$, and σ_k as defined above completes the basis.

The condition that we have sections over E is that the form

$$\alpha_1 \wedge ... \wedge \alpha_{2n+1}$$

be non-zero on the $2n + 1$ dimensional integral manifolds given by the constraint forms.

In the remainder of this section, we will give a brief outline of the process of finding the generality of the solutions to this last problem, see [3] or [4] for details.

According to [3], to determine the existence and generality of the solutions to (38), we calculate the exterior derivatives $d\sigma_k$ modulo the ideal generated by the forms σ_k

$$d\sigma_k \equiv \pi^i_k \wedge \alpha_i + t^{ij}_k\alpha_i \wedge \alpha_j \ (\text{mod } \sigma) \tag{39}$$

where π_k^i are some linear combinations of π_A. As $d\sigma_k$ expands with no $dp_A \wedge dp_B$ terms, the system is quasi-linear.

As we want the system to be a section over E, i.e $\alpha_1 \wedge ... \wedge \alpha_{2n+1} \neq 0$ on the integral manifolds, we need to absorb all the $\alpha_i \wedge \alpha_j$ terms into the $\pi_k^i \wedge \alpha_i$ terms. This is done by changing the basis forms π_A to $\bar{\pi}_A := \pi_A - l_A^j \alpha_j$. If any of the $\alpha_i \wedge \alpha_j$ terms can not be absorbed, then asking for $d\sigma_k \equiv 0 \pmod{\sigma}$ is incompatible with the independence condition and therefore there is no solution.

Once the $\alpha_i \wedge \alpha_j$ terms have been removed, the system

$$d\sigma_k \equiv \pi_k^i \wedge \alpha_i \pmod{\sigma} \tag{40}$$

is used to create the tableau Π from which the Cartan characters, $s_1, s_2, ..., s_k$, can be calculated allowing us to apply the Cartan test for involution.

$$\Pi = \begin{array}{c|cccc} & \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & \dots & \alpha_n \\ \hline \sigma_1 & \pi_1^1 & \pi_1^2 & \dots & \pi_1^n \\ \sigma_2 & \pi_2^1 & \pi_2^2 & \dots & \pi_2^n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \sigma_d & \pi_d^1 & \pi_d^2 & \dots & \pi_d^n \end{array}$$

The first character s_1 is the number of independent one forms that can be chosen from first column of Π. s_2 is the number of independent forms in the second column that are also independent of all forms in the first column. This is repeated for s_3 and onwards until all the independent forms are exhausted. In computing the Cartan characters, the basis $\{\alpha_i\}$ is chosen such that s_1 is as large as possible, and s_2 large as possible but less the s_1, and so on. In particular, s_k must form a non-increasing sequence of integers.

Once the Cartan characters are found, the Cartan test for involution is performed.

Let t denote the number of ways in which the forms π_k^i can be modified by using $\bar{\pi}^A = \pi^A - l_A^j \alpha_j$, without changing (40). That is, t is the dimension of the linear space of e-tuples of one forms $(\tau_1, \tau_2, ..., \tau_e)$ of the form: $\tau_A = l_A^j \alpha_j$ such that

$$a_k^{iA} \tau_A \wedge \alpha_i = 0$$

Then, according to Cartan, the differential system (38) is in involution if and only if

$$t = s_1 + 2s_2 + 3s_3 + ... + ks_k.$$

If the Cartan test fails, then it is necessary to prolong the differential system by differentiating the original equations to obtain a new differential system on N_1, the first jet bundle of local sections of N over M, then repeating the foregoing analysis.

Once a sequence of Cartan characters is found that passes the Cartan test, then if s_l is the last non-zero character, the general solution to the differential system (38) will depend on s_l arbitrary functions of l variables.

3.3.2 The differential ideal step

It follows from Theorem 3.1 that for a given SODE Γ for which the corresponding $\mathbf{\Phi}$ is diagonalisable, the closed 2-form ω that we are seeking must satisfy the algebraic conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} &\omega(X_a^V, X_b^V) = 0, && \omega(X_a^H, X_b^H) = 0 \\ &\Gamma \lrcorner\, \omega = 0, && \omega(X_a^V, X_b^H) = \omega(X_b^V, X_a^H). \end{aligned}$$

So we start the EDS process with the module $\Sigma^0 := Sp\{\omega^{ab}\}$, where $\omega^{ab} := \frac{1}{2}(\phi^{aV} \wedge \phi^{bH} + \phi^{bV} \wedge \phi^{aH})$, $1 \leq a \leq b \leq n$, and look for the (final) differential ideal generated by Σ^f.
Consider a 2-form ω in Σ^0, that is $\omega = \sum_{a\leq b} r_{ab}\omega^{ab}$. Calculating the exterior derivative of ω using (17) and (18) gives

$$\begin{aligned} d\omega = &\sum_{a\leq b} dr_{ab} \wedge \frac{1}{2}(\phi^{aV} \wedge \phi^{bH} + \phi^{bV} \wedge \phi^{aH}) \\ &- \sum_{a\leq b} r_{ab} \Big[(\tau_c^{a\Gamma} dt + \tau_{dc}^{aH} \phi^{dH} + \tau_{dc}^{aV} \phi^{dV}) \wedge \frac{1}{2}(\phi^{bV} \wedge \phi^{cH} + \phi^{cV} \wedge \phi^{bH}) \\ &- (\tau_c^{b\Gamma} dt + \tau_{dc}^{bH} \phi^{dH} + \tau_{dc}^{bV} \phi^{dV}) \wedge \frac{1}{2}(\phi^{aV} \wedge \phi^{dH} + \phi^{dV} \wedge \phi^{aH}) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_b - \lambda_a) dt \wedge \phi^{aH} \wedge \phi^{bH} \\ &- \frac{1}{4}\phi^{aV}(R(X_d^H, X_c^H))\phi^{dH} \wedge \phi^{cH} \wedge \phi^{bH} \\ &- \frac{1}{4}\phi^{bV}(R(X_d^H, X_c^H))\phi^{dH} \wedge \phi^{cH} \wedge \phi^{aH} \Big] \\ \Rightarrow\ d\omega \equiv &\sum_{a\leq b} r_{ab} \Big[\frac{1}{2}(\lambda_b - \lambda_a) dt \wedge \phi^{aH} \wedge \phi^{bH} \\ &- \frac{1}{4}\phi^{aV}(R(X_d^H, X_c^H))\phi^{dH} \wedge \phi^{cH} \wedge \phi^{bH} \\ &- \frac{1}{4}\phi^{bV}(R(X_d^H, X_c^H))\phi^{dH} \wedge \phi^{cH} \wedge \phi^{aH} \Big] \pmod{\langle \Sigma^0 \rangle}. \end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

By looking at (41) we find alternative proofs of the following two propositions (see [2]).

Proposition 3.5. *The differential ideal step finishes at Σ^0 if and only if $\mathbf{\Phi}$ is a function multiple of the identity.*

Proof. From (41), the necessary and sufficient conditions for $\langle \Sigma^0 \rangle$ to be a differential ideal are

$$r_{ab}(\lambda_b - \lambda_a) dt \wedge \phi^{aH} \wedge \phi^{bH} = 0, \quad \forall a < b \quad \text{(no sum)} \tag{42}$$

and

$$\sum_{a\leq b} r_{ab}(\phi^{aV}(R(X_d^H, X_c^H))\phi^{dH} \wedge \phi^{cH} \wedge \phi^{bH} + \phi^{bV}(R(X_d^H, X_c^H))\phi^{dH} \wedge \phi^{cH} \wedge \phi^{aH}) = 0 \tag{43}$$

for all r_{ab}. Hence if Σ^0 generates a differential ideal, then the two conditions are satisfied for all r_{ab}. This implies that for all a, b, $\lambda_a = \lambda_b$, and thus the matrix $\mathbf{\Phi}$ is multiple of the identity. Conversely, if $\mathbf{\Phi}$ is multiple of the identity, then (42) is immediately satisfied and (43) is satisfied via the identity (34). $\square$

Proposition 3.6. *Suppose that* $\mathbf{\Phi}$ *is diagonalisable with distinct eigenvalues and eigenforms* ϕ^a. *Let* Σ^0 *be* $Sp\{\omega^{ab}\}$ *and* $\omega \in \Sigma^0$. *Then* $\omega \in \Sigma^1$ *if and only if* $\omega := \sum_{a=1}^n r_a \omega^{aa}$ *and the curvature satisfies*

$$\sum_{cyclic\ dce} r_d \phi^{dV}(R(X_c^H, X_e^H)) = 0, \quad \textit{for all distinct } d, c, e, \textit{ (no sum on } d). \tag{44}$$

Proof. Let $\omega \in \Sigma^0$, that is $\omega = \sum_{a \le b} r_{ab}\omega^{ab}$, then $\omega \in \Sigma^1$ if and only if $d\omega \in \langle \Sigma^0 \rangle$. This is equivalent to the two conditions (42) and (43) on r_{ab}.

Since $\lambda_b - \lambda_a \neq 0$ by assumption, (42) gives $r_{ab} = 0$ for $a < b$. We will now use r_a instead of r_{aa} and ω^a instead of ω^{aa}.
The condition (43) becomes

$$\sum_{dce} r_d(\phi^{dV}(R(X_c^H, X_e^H))\phi^{cH} \wedge \phi^{eH} \wedge \phi^{dH} = 0,$$

for distinct d, c and e, which is equivalent to (44).
Therefore $\Sigma^1 = Sp\{\omega^a := \phi^{aV} \wedge \phi^{aH}, a = 1, \ldots, n\}$ satisfying the condition (44) as required. □

By observation from Proposition 3.5 we have that for the case where $\mathbf{\Phi}$ is diagonalisable with distinct eigenvalues we need to process the next differential ideal step to examine whether or not $\langle \Sigma^1 \rangle$ is a differential ideal. However the condition (44) presents a difficulty in this checking process. Now we denote $\tilde{\Sigma}^1 := Sp\{\omega^a, a = 1, \ldots, n\}$, which does not necessarily satisfy (44), and so $\Sigma^1 \subseteq \tilde{\Sigma}^1 \subset \Sigma^0$. These results show that for the case where $\mathbf{\Phi}$ is diagonalisable with distinct eigenvalues, $\tilde{\Sigma}^1$ is the more effective option with which to start the differential ideal step.

Proposition 3.7. *Let* $\mathbf{\Phi}$ *be diagonalisable with distinct eigenvalues. Then the necessary and sufficient conditions for* $\omega = \sum_a r_a \phi^{aV} \wedge \phi^{aH} \in \tilde{\Sigma}^1$ *to have its exterior derivative in the ideal* $\langle \tilde{\Sigma}^1 \rangle$ *are that, for all distinct* a, b *and* c *(no sum),*

$$\begin{aligned}
&r_a \tau_b^{a\Gamma} + r_b \tau_a^{b\Gamma} = 0,\\
&r_a(\tau_{bc}^{aV} - \tau_{cb}^{aV}) - r_b \tau_{ca}^{bV} + r_c \tau_{ba}^{cV} = 0,\\
&r_a(\tau_{bc}^{aH} - \tau_{cb}^{aH}) - r_b \tau_{ca}^{bH} + r_c \tau_{ba}^{cH} = 0,\\
&r_a \phi^{aV}(R(X_c^H, X_b^H)) + r_b \phi^{bV}(R(X_a^H, X_c^H)) + r_c \phi^{cV}(R(X_b^H, X_a^H)) = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

The last of these is just (44).

Proof. Let $\omega = r_a \phi^{aV} \wedge \phi^{aH} \in \tilde{\Sigma}^1$. Then

$$d\omega \in \langle \tilde{\Sigma}^1 \rangle \Leftrightarrow \ d\omega = \sum_k \beta_k \wedge \phi^{kV} \wedge \phi^{kH}. \tag{46}$$

By observation (46) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}
&d\omega(\Gamma, X_a^V, X_b^V) = 0, \quad &&d\omega(\Gamma, X_a^H, X_b^H) = 0, \quad &&d\omega(\Gamma, X_a^V, X_b^H) = 0,\\
&d\omega(X_a^V, X_b^H, X_c^H) = 0, \quad &&d\omega(X_a^V, X_b^V, X_c^H) = 0, \quad &&d\omega(X_a^V, X_b^V, X_c^V) = 0,\\
&d\omega(X_a^H, X_b^H, X_c^H) = 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

for all distinct a, b and c.
Applying the formula (12) to the identities in (47), we can see that only the second part that involves the Lie brackets can contribute. Using the bracket relations (15a)-(15e) in the calculation we find that the first, the second and the sixth condition in (47) are identically satisfied. The third condition gives:

$$r_a\tau_b^{a\Gamma} + r_b\tau_a^{b\Gamma} = 0, \quad a \neq b.$$

The fourth and the fifth condition respectively give

$$r_a(\tau_{bc}^{aH} - \tau_{cb}^{aH}) - r_b\tau_{ca}^{bH} + r_c\tau_{ba}^{cH} = 0,$$

$$r_a(\tau_{bc}^{aV} - \tau_{cb}^{aV}) - r_b\tau_{ca}^{bV} + r_c\tau_{ba}^{cV} = 0,$$

for all distinct a, b and c.
The remaining condition is, that for all distinct a, b, c and with no sum,

$$r_a\phi^{aV}(R(X_c^H, X_b^H)) + r_b\phi^{bV}(R(X_a^H, X_c^H)) + r_c\phi^{cV}(R(X_b^H, X_a^H)) = 0.$$

This last condition is simply the condition (44) in Proposition 3.6. □

Corollary 3.8. *For diagonalisable $\mathbf{\Phi}$ with distinct eigenvalues, the necessary and sufficient conditions for $\tilde{\Sigma}^1$ to generates a differential ideal are that, for all distinct a, b and c,*

$$\tau_b^{a\Gamma} = 0, \ \tau_{bc}^{aV} = 0 \tag{48}$$

Proof. $\tilde{\Sigma}^1 := Sp\{\phi^{aV} \wedge \phi^{aH} : a = 1, ..., n\}$ generates a differential ideal if and only if the conditions in Proposition 3.7 hold for arbitrary r_a. This immediately gives $\tau_b^{a\Gamma} = 0, \tau_{bc}^{aV} = 0$, $\tau_{bc}^{aH} = 0$ and $\phi^{aV}(R(X_b^H, X_c^H)) = 0$ for all distinct a, b and c. But the last two conditions are the consequences of the first two conditions by Jacobi identities (31a) and (31b) for distinct a, b and c. □

We note here that if we assume $\tau_b^{a\Gamma} = 0$ for all $a \neq b$ so that $\hat{\nabla}_\Gamma X_a^{V/H} = \tau_a^{a\Gamma} X_a^{V/H}$, then all $\tau_b^{a\Gamma}$ can be put equal to zero by re-scaling the eigenvectors of $\mathbf{\Phi}$. Thus from now on we have that if $\tilde{\Sigma}^1$ is a differential ideal, then $\tau_b^{a\Gamma} = 0$ for all a, b.

In the next differential ideal steps, we define $\tilde{\Sigma}^{i+1} := \{\omega \in \tilde{\Sigma}^i : d\omega \in \langle\tilde{\Sigma}^i\rangle\}$. Thus $\tilde{\Sigma}^2$ is the submodule of 2-forms in $\tilde{\Sigma}^1$ which further satisfy the conditions in (45) and so $\tilde{\Sigma}^2 \subseteq \Sigma^1 \subseteq \tilde{\Sigma}^1$. The relation between the sequences $\tilde{\Sigma}^1 \supset \tilde{\Sigma}^2 \supset \cdots \supset \tilde{\Sigma}^p \supset \ldots$ and $\Sigma^1 \supset \Sigma^2 \supset \cdots \supset \Sigma^p \supset \ldots$ is as follows.

Lemma 3.9. $\tilde{\Sigma}^1 \supseteq \Sigma^1 \supseteq \tilde{\Sigma}^2 \supseteq \Sigma^2 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq \tilde{\Sigma}^p \supseteq \Sigma^p \supseteq \ldots.$

Proof. We have established this for $p = 1$. Suppose that it is true for $p = k$, now we will prove that it is true for $p = k + 1$, that is $\tilde{\Sigma}^{k+1} \supseteq \Sigma^{k+1} \supseteq \tilde{\Sigma}^{k+2}$. Let $\omega \in \Sigma^{k+1}$, that is $\omega \in \Sigma^k \subseteq \tilde{\Sigma}^k$ and $d\omega \in \langle\Sigma^k\rangle \subseteq \langle\tilde{\Sigma}^k\rangle$ and so $\omega \in \tilde{\Sigma}^{k+1}$.
Now let $\omega \in \tilde{\Sigma}^{k+2}$, that is $\omega \in \tilde{\Sigma}^{k+1} \subseteq \Sigma^k$ and $d\omega \in \langle\tilde{\Sigma}^{k+1}\rangle \subseteq \langle\Sigma^k\rangle$ and so $\omega \in \Sigma^{k+1}$. □

An immediate result from Lemma 3.9 is

Corollary 3.10. *If $\tilde{\Sigma}^p$ generates a differential ideal but $\tilde{\Sigma}^{p-1}$ does not, then either Σ^{p-1} or Σ^p generates a differential ideal, and moreover either $\tilde{\Sigma}^p = \Sigma^{p-1}$ or $\tilde{\Sigma}^p = \Sigma^p$ respectively.*

Proof. As a result of Proposition 3.7 it is true for $p = 1$, that is, if $\langle\tilde{\Sigma}^1\rangle$ is a differential ideal then $\tilde{\Sigma}^1 = \Sigma^1$. For $p > 1$ since $\tilde{\Sigma}^{p-1}$ does not, by assumption, generate a differential ideal, there are two cases for Σ^{p-1}. The first case, if Σ^{p-1} generates a differential ideal then $\Sigma^{p-1} = \Sigma^p$ and so $\Sigma^{p-1} = \tilde{\Sigma}^p = \Sigma^p$ by Lemma 3.9. The second case, if Σ^{p-1} does not generate a differential ideal, then $\langle\Sigma^p\rangle$ is a differential ideal because $\langle\tilde{\Sigma}^p\rangle$ is a differential ideal and $\tilde{\Sigma}^p = \Sigma^p = \tilde{\Sigma}^{p+1}$. □

The following proposition indicates the sufficient condition for the nonexistence of regular solutions. This can be used to exclude the cases where there are no regular solutions.

Proposition 3.11. *Suppose* $\mathbf{\Phi}$ *is diagonalisable with distinct eigenvalues. If there is some* ω^c *missing in the final submodule* Σ^f*, that is* $\omega(X_c^V, X_c^H) = 0$ *for all* $\omega \in \Sigma^f$*, then there is no regular solution to the inverse problem.*

Proof. Let $\omega \in \Sigma^f$. We have $\Gamma \lrcorner \omega = 0$. If ω^c is missing in Σ^f, then $X_c^V \lrcorner \omega = 0$ and $X_c^H \lrcorner \omega = 0$. It then follows that ω has kernel of dimension greater than one. □

Theorem 3.12. *Let* $\mathbf{\Phi}$ *be diagonalisable with distinct eigenvalues. Suppose there are* q *non-integrable eigen co-distributions. If the sequence* $\langle\tilde{\Sigma}^1\rangle, ..., \langle\tilde{\Sigma}^q\rangle$ *does not contain a differential ideal then there is no non-degenerate solution.*

Proof. Suppose that the eigen co-distributions are ordered so that the first q are non-integrable. Firstly, if $\langle\tilde{\Sigma}^q\rangle$ is not a differential ideal, then no earlier $\langle\tilde{\Sigma}^p\rangle$ can be a differential ideal. Now each of the $n - q$ integrable $\omega^b := \phi^{bV} \wedge \phi^{bH}$ has remained in $\tilde{\Sigma}^q$ since $d\omega^b = \xi_{\underline{b}}^b \wedge \omega^b$. However $\langle\tilde{\Sigma}^q\rangle$ is not a differential ideal so that $dim(\tilde{\Sigma}^q) > n-q$. Now $dim(\tilde{\Sigma}^{p+1}) < dim(\tilde{\Sigma}^p)$ for $p < q+1$ and so $dim(\tilde{\Sigma}^q) \leq n - (q-1)$. Thus $dim(\tilde{\Sigma}^q) = n - q + 1$. But $\langle\tilde{\Sigma}^q\rangle$ is not a differential ideal by assumption and hence $dim(\tilde{\Sigma}^{q+1}) = n - q$ and so $\omega^1, ..., \omega^q$ are missing and no solution exists. □

We remark that it is not the case that the number of non-integrable co-distributions always matches the terminating differential ideal step. Example 5 in section 5 demonstrates this for $n = 3$.

Corollary 3.13. *Let* $\mathbf{\Phi}$ *be diagonalisable with distinct eigenvalues. If the final submodule is one dimensional and* $\tilde{\Sigma}^f = Sp\{r_a\omega^a, a = 1, \ldots, n, r_a \neq 0\}$ *, then no eigen co-distributions of* $\mathbf{\Phi}$ *are integrable.*

For the sake of completeness we reproduce the following theorem about the limiting cases.

Theorem 3.14. *(see [18]) Suppose that the final differential ideal is generated by a one dimensional submodule* $\Sigma^f = Sp\{\tilde{\omega}\}$*, for non-degenerate* $\tilde{\omega}$*. That is, there exists* μ *such that*

$$d\tilde{\omega} = \mu \wedge \tilde{\omega}, \quad \wedge^n\tilde{\omega} \neq 0.$$

Then $\langle\tilde{\omega}\rangle$ *contains a closed, non-degenerate two-form if and only if* $d\mu = 0$*.*

We characterise non-integrable eigen co-distributions in the next theorem.

Theorem 3.15. *Let Φ be diagonalisable with distinct eigenvalues and suppose $\tilde{\Sigma}^1 = Sp\{\phi^{bV} \wedge \phi^{bH}, b = 1, ..., n\}$ generates a differential ideal. Suppose further that $Sp\{\phi^{aV}, \phi^{aH}\}$ is a non-integrable eigen co-distribution of $\mathbf{\Phi}$ for some a. Then*
1. there exists at least one non-zero τ_{bb}^{aV} for some $b \neq a$;
2. let $\tau_{bb}^{aV}, \tau_{bb}^{aH} \neq 0$ for some $b \neq a$ then $\bar{\alpha}_a = \phi^{aV} + \bar{B}_a \phi^{aH}$ (no sum) is an integrable direction in $Sp\{\phi^{aV}, \phi^{aH}\}$ if and only if

$$\bar{B}_a = \frac{\tau_{bb}^{aH}}{\tau_{bb}^{aV}} \quad \textit{for all such } b, \tag{49}$$

$$\textit{and } X_b^V(\bar{B}_a) = \bar{B}_a \tau_{ab}^{aV} - \tau_{ab}^{aH}, \quad a \neq b. \tag{50}$$

3. Let $\tau_{bb}^{aH} = 0$ for all $b \neq a$ then ϕ^{aV} is an integrable direction if and only if $\tau_{ab}^{aH} = 0$ for all $b \neq a$.

Proof. 1. Since the co-distribution $Sp\{\phi^{aV}, \phi^{aH}\}$ is non-integrable and $\tilde{\Sigma}^1$ is a differential ideal, there is at least one $\tau_{bb}^{aV} \neq 0$ by Proposition 3.2.

2. Suppose that $\bar{\alpha}_a = \phi^{aV} + \bar{B}_a \phi^{aH}$ is an integrable direction in $Sp\{\phi^{aV}, \phi^{aH}\}$, that is (23)-(29) hold for $B_a = \bar{B}_a$. Then from (24) with $c = b$ we get

$$\bar{B}_a = \frac{\tau_{bb}^{aH}}{\tau_{bb}^{aV}},$$

and (50) is exactly (28).
Conversely, we show that if $\bar{B}_a = \frac{\tau_{bb}^{aH}}{\tau_{bb}^{aV}}$, and $X_b^V(\bar{B}_a) = \bar{B}_a \tau_{ab}^{aV} - \tau_{ab}^{aH}$, then (23)-(29) hold for $B_a = \bar{B}_a$ as follows.
The conditions (23),(24),(25) and (26) hold for $B_a = \bar{B}_a$ from Corollary 3.8.
To establish (27) we note that

$$\tau_{bc}^{aH} = -\Gamma(\tau_{bc}^{aV}) \quad \text{for all } b, c \neq a$$

from Jacobi identity (31a) and $\tau_b^{a\Gamma} = 0$ for all a, b, and

$$\Gamma(\tau_{bb}^{aH}) = \lambda_a \tau_{bb}^{aV}$$

from Jacobi identity (31b) together with the conditions in Corollary 3.8.
We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma(\bar{B}_a) &= \Gamma(\frac{\tau_{bb}^{aH}}{\tau_{bb}^{aV}}) \quad \text{for } \tau_{bb}^{aV} \neq 0 \\
&= \frac{\Gamma(\tau_{bb}^{aH}) - \bar{B}_a \Gamma(\tau_{bb}^{aV})}{\tau_{bb}^{aV}} \\
&= \lambda_a + (\bar{B}_a)^2,
\end{aligned}$$

so the condition (27) holds.
The condition (50) is exactly (28) and implies the condition (29) for $B_a = \bar{B}_a$, $X_b^H(\bar{B}_a) = \phi^{aV}(R(X_b^H, X_a^H)) + \bar{B}_a(\bar{B}_a \tau_{ab}^{aV} - \tau_{ab}^{aH})$ as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
X_b^H(\bar{B}_a) &= -[\Gamma, X_b^V](\bar{B}_a) = X_b^V(\Gamma(\bar{B}_a)) - \Gamma(X_b^V(\bar{B}_a)) \\
&= X_b^V((\bar{B}_a)^2 + \lambda_a) - \Gamma(\bar{B}_a \tau_{ab}^{aV} - \tau_{ab}^{aH}) \\
&= 2\bar{B}_a(\bar{B}_a \tau_{ab}^{aV} - \tau_{ab}^{aH}) + X_b^V(\lambda_a) - ((\bar{B}_a)^2 + \lambda_a)\tau_{ab}^{aV} + \bar{B}_a \tau_{ab}^{aH} + \Gamma(\tau_{ab}^{aH})
\end{aligned}$$

By identity (31b) and Corollary 3.8,

$$\Gamma(\tau_{ab}^{aH}) = \phi^{aV}(R(X_b^H, X_a^H)) + \lambda_a \tau_{ab}^{aV} - X_b^V(\lambda_a)$$

Substituting this into $X_b^H(\bar{B}_a)$ above and then simplifying we get

$$X_b^H(\bar{B}_a) = \phi^{aV}(R(X_b^H, X_a^H)) + \bar{B}_a(\bar{B}_a \tau_{ab}^{aV} - \tau_{ab}^{aH})$$

as required.

3. If ϕ^{aV} is integrable then $d\phi^{aV} = \mu^a \wedge \phi^{aV}$. By looking at (17) along with the assumption of the differential ideal, $\langle \tilde{\Sigma}^1 \rangle$, we have $\tau_{ab}^{aH} = 0$ for $b \neq a$.
Conversely, using identity (31b) and Corollary 3.8 to prove that $\phi^{aV}(R(X_b^H, X_a^H)) = 0$ and so $\phi^{aV}(R(X_a^H, X_b^H)) = 0$ because R is skew, and all other terms apart from the form $\mu \wedge \phi^{aV}$ in the right hand side of (17) go because of Corollary 3.8 and the assumptions of the theorem. Hence $\tau_{ab}^{aH} = 0$ together with the stated assumptions and (17) imply that ϕ^{aV} is an integrable direction.

□

We now examine the consequences of more than one non-zero τ_{bb}^{aV}.

Corollary 3.16. *Let Φ be diagonalisable with distinct eigenvalues and suppose $\tilde{\Sigma}^1 = Sp\{\phi^{bV} \wedge \phi^{bH}, b = 1, ..., n\}$ is a differential ideal. Suppose that for some a, $Sp\{\phi^{aV}, \phi^{aH}\}$ is a non-integrable co-distribution of $\mathbf{\Phi}$. Suppose further that there exist at least two $\tau_{b_i b_i}^{aV} \neq 0$ for $b_i \neq a$. Then $\bar{\alpha}_a = \phi^{aV} + \bar{B}_a \phi^{aH}$ is an integrable direction in $Sp\{\phi^{aV}, \phi^{aH}\}$ if and only if*

$$\bar{B}_a = \frac{\tau_{b_i b_i}^{aH}}{\tau_{b_i b_i}^{aV}} \quad \textit{for each such } b_i \neq a \ .$$

Proof. If $\bar{\alpha}_a = \phi^{aV} + \bar{B}_a \phi^{aH}$ is an integrable direction, then

$$\bar{B}_a = \frac{\tau_{b_i b_i}^{aH}}{\tau_{b_i b_i}^{aV}} \quad \text{for all such } b_i \neq a$$

by theorem 3.15 (along with (50)). Conversely, we will show that, with the given $\bar{B}_a$, $X_{b_i}^V(\bar{B}_a) = \bar{B}_a \tau_{ab_i}^{aV} - \tau_{ab_i}^{aH}, \quad b_i \neq a$. We note that with the conditions in the Corollary 3.8 we get

$$X_{b_i}^V(\tau_{b_j b_j}^{aV}) = \tau_{b_j b_j}^{aV}(2\tau_{b_i b_j}^{b_j V} - \tau_{b_j b_i}^{b_j V}) - \tau_{b_j b_j}^{aV} \tau_{b_i a}^{aV} \quad \text{for distinct } a, b_i, b_j \tag{51}$$

from the Jacobi identity (34a), and

$$X_{b_i}^V(\tau_{b_j b_j}^{aH}) = \tau_{b_j b_j}^{aH}(2\tau_{b_i b_j}^{b_j V} - \tau_{b_j b_i}^{b_j V}) - \tau_{b_j b_j}^{aV} \tau_{b_i a}^{aH} - \tau_{b_j b_j}^{aH}(\tau_{b_i a}^{aV} - \tau_{ab_i}^{aV}) \quad \text{for distinct } a, b_i, b_j \tag{52}$$

from the Jacobi identity (34b). Now we have

$$X_{b_i}^V(\bar{B}_a) = X_{b_i}^V\left(\frac{\tau_{b_j b_j}^{aH}}{\tau_{b_j b_j}^{aV}}\right) = \frac{X_{b_i}^V(\tau_{b_j b_j}^{aH}) - \bar{B}_a X_{b_i}^V(\tau_{b_j b_j}^{aV})}{\tau_{b_j b_j}^{aV}}.$$

Substituting $X_{b_i}^V(\tau_{b_j b_j}^{aV})$ from (51) and $X_{b_i}^V(\tau_{b_j b_j}^{aH})$ from (52) into $X_{b_i}^V(\bar{B}_a)$ above and then simplifying we get

$$X_{b_i}^V(\bar{B}_a) = \bar{B}_a \tau_{ab_i}^{aV} - \tau_{ab_i}^{aH}, \quad b_i \neq a$$

as required.

□

4 Douglas's case IIa2 and an extension

In this section we shall explicitly deal with the inverse problem in arbitrary dimension n given by a diagonalisable $\mathbf{\Phi}$ with distinct eigenvalues with exactly $n-1$ co-distributions being integrable. Our result here is given in Theorem 4.1 at the end of the section. We note that this case may be considered as the extension of case IIa2 ($[\nabla\Phi,\Phi]=0$) or case III ($[\nabla\Phi,\Phi]\neq 0$) in the sense of Douglas' classification. In $n=2$, $[\nabla\Phi,\Phi]=0$ is equivalent to $\tilde{\Sigma}^1$ being a differential ideal. In higher dimensions the problem is rather complicated. In the $n=3$ case for instance, $[\nabla\Phi,\Phi]=0$ does not mean $\tilde{\Sigma}^1$ is a differential ideal anymore; and the cases of one non-integrable co-distribution and two non-integrable co-distributions may both be considered for the extension of Douglas' case IIa2.

Consider a given system of second-order ordinary differential equations

$$\ddot{x}^a = F^a(t,x^b,\dot{x}^b),\quad a,b=1,\dots,n,$$

for which $\mathbf{\Phi}$ is diagonalisable with distinct eigenvalues with exactly $n-1$ co-distributions being integrable. As shown in Theorem 3.12 this system can be variational only when $\tilde{\Sigma}^1$ is a differential ideal. Let's suppose that we have differential ideal at the first step, i.e. $\tilde{\Sigma}^1 = Sp\{\phi^{cV}\wedge\phi^{cH} : c=1,...,n\}$ generates a differential ideal. Without loss of generality, let us also assume that the only non-integrable co-distribution is $Sp\{\phi^{bV},\phi^{bH}\}$ for some $b\in\{1,2,...,n\}$ and the other $n-1$ co-distributions, $Sp\{\phi^{aV},\phi^{aH} : a\neq b\}$, are integrable. Then we have:

$$\begin{aligned} d\omega^b &= d(\phi^{bV}\wedge\phi^{bH})\\ &= \xi^b_b\wedge\omega^b + \xi^b_a\wedge\omega^a,\quad a\neq b \text{ and } \xi^b_a\neq 0 \text{ for some } a\neq b,\\ d\omega^a &= d(\phi^{aV}\wedge\phi^{aH}) = \xi^a_a\wedge\omega^a \quad \text{(no sum)}\quad a\neq b \end{aligned}$$

where with $A^{aV,H}_{cd} := \tau^{aV,H}_{cd} - 2\tau^{aV,H}_{dc}$,

$$\xi^a_a = A^{aV}_{ac}\phi^{cV} + A^{aH}_{ac}\phi^{cH},\ \xi^b_b = A^{bV}_{bc}\phi^{cV} + A^{bH}_{bc}\phi^{cH},\ \xi^b_a = \tau^{bV}_{aa}\phi^{bV} + \tau^{bH}_{aa}\phi^{bH}. \tag{53}$$

We are now looking for 2-forms $\omega\in\tilde{\Sigma}^1$, i.e. $\omega = r_c\omega^c, c=1,...,n$ with $d\omega=0$ and all the r's non-zero for non-degenerate solutions. We have

$$d\omega = \sum_a (dr_a + r_b\xi^b_a + r_a\xi^a_a)\wedge\phi^{aV}\wedge\phi^{aH} + (dr_b + r_b\xi^b_b)\wedge\phi^{bV}\wedge\phi^{bH},\quad a\neq b.$$

Putting $d\omega=0$, we get a system of Pfaffian equations:

$$dr_b + r_b\xi^b_b = -P_b\phi^{bV} - Q_b\phi^{bH},\quad b \text{ is fixed}, \tag{54}$$

$$dr_a + r_b\xi^b_a + r_a\xi^a_a = -P_a\phi^{aV} - Q_a\phi^{aH}\quad a\neq b \text{ (no sum on } a), \tag{55}$$

where P_a's, Q_a's, P_b and Q_b are arbitrary functions on E.
Following the EDS procedure, we extend E to a new manifold N with coordinates (t,x^c,u^c,r_c,P_c,Q_c) and now the problem is to find the integrable distributions on N with $\sigma_c=0$ where

$$\sigma_b := dr_b + r_b\xi^b_b + P_b\phi^{bV} + Q_b\phi^{bH} \tag{56}$$

$$\sigma_a := dr_a + r_b\xi^b_a + r_a\xi^a_a + P_a\phi^{aV} + Q_a\phi^{aH}\quad a\neq b \text{ (no sum on } a) \tag{57}$$

Continuing the EDS process, set $\pi_c^V := dP_c$ and $\pi_c^H := dQ_c$, $c = 1, ..., n$. (The V and H superscripts here do not indicate that the forms are vertical or horizontal.) Using this a co-frame on N is $(dt, \phi^{cV}, \phi^{cH}, \sigma_c, \pi_c^V, \pi_c^H)$ for $c = 1, ..., n$. So the next step is to calculate $d\sigma_c$ modulo the ideal $\langle \sigma_c \rangle$.

Taking the exterior derivative of (57) gives

$$\begin{aligned} d\sigma_a &= dr_b \wedge \xi_a^b + r_b d\xi_a^b + dr_a \wedge \xi_a^a + r_a d\xi_a^a + \pi_a^V \wedge \phi^{aV} + P_a d\phi^{aV} + \pi_a^H \wedge \phi^{aH} + Q_a d\phi^{aH} \\ &\equiv (-r_b \xi_b^b - P_b \phi^{bV} - Q_b \phi^{bH}) \wedge \xi_a^b + r_b d\xi_a^b \\ &\quad + (-r_b \xi_a^b - r_a \xi_a^a - P_a \phi^{aV} - Q_a \phi^{aH}) \wedge \xi_a^a + r_a d\xi_a^a \\ &\quad + \pi_a^V \wedge \phi^{aV} + P_a d\phi^{aV} + \pi_a^H \wedge \phi^{aH} + Q_a d\phi^{aH} \pmod{\sigma_c} \text{(no sum on a)}. \end{aligned} \tag{58}$$

The next step is to see what terms in $d\sigma_a$ can be absorbed into π_a^V and π_a^H. Given that in each $d\sigma_a$, any term that can be written as $\beta \wedge \phi^{aV}$ or $\beta \wedge \phi^{aH}$ can be absorbed into terms $\pi_a^V \wedge \phi^{aV}$ and $\pi_a^H \wedge \phi^{aH}$ respectively. After this absorption these terms are denoted as $\tilde{\pi}_a^V \wedge \phi^{aV}$ and $\tilde{\pi}_a^H \wedge \phi^{aH}$ and the remainder that can't be absorbed represents the *'torsion'* of the system.
Working through (58), it can be seen from (21) and the integrability of eigen co-distributions $Sp\{\phi^{aV}, \phi^{aH}, a \neq b\}$ that terms $d\xi_a^a$, $d\phi^{aV}$, and $d\phi^{aH}$ give no torsion and terms that contribute to the torsion are (remember that b is fixed)

$$T_a := \left(r_b(\xi_a^a - \xi_b^b) - P_b \phi^{bV} - Q_b \phi^{bH} \right) \wedge \xi_a^b + r_b d\xi_a^b \quad \text{(no sum on a)}. \tag{59}$$

By looking at (59), it can be seen that for those $a \neq b$ where $\xi_a^b = 0$ or equivalently $\tau_{aa}^{bV} = 0$, the torsion T_a vanishes without any extra conditions. It then follows that

$$d\sigma_a \equiv \tilde{\pi}_a^V \wedge \phi^{aV} + \tilde{\pi}_a^H \wedge \phi^{aH} \pmod{\sigma} \text{ (no sum)}.$$

However, the eigen co-distribution $D_b^\perp = Sp\{\phi^{bV}, \phi^{bH}\}$ is non-integrable by assumption, so there exists at least one $\xi_a^b \neq 0, a \neq b$; and each such a corresponds to one T_a. So we split the problem into two subcases:

i) There is only one fixed a such that $\xi_a^b \neq 0$ or equivalently $\tau_{aa}^{bV} \neq 0$.

ii) There is more than one fixed a such that $\xi_a^b \neq 0$, say there are $a_i \neq b, i = 1, 2, ...$ such that $\tau_{a_i a_i}^{bV} \neq 0$.

Considering $a \neq b$ with $\xi_a^b \neq 0$, and remembering that b is fixed, computing $d\xi_a^b$ we get:

$$\begin{aligned} d\xi_a^b &= d\tau_{aa}^{bV} \wedge \phi^{bV} + \tau_{aa}^{bV} d\phi^{bV} + d\tau_{aa}^{bH} \wedge \phi^{bH} + \tau_{aa}^{bH} d\phi^{bH} \\ &= (\Gamma(\tau_{aa}^{bV})dt + X_c^V(\tau_{aa}^{bV})\phi^{cV} + X_c^H(\tau_{aa}^{bV})\phi^{cH}) \wedge \phi^{bV} \\ &\quad + \tau_{aa}^{bV}(-\lambda_b dt \wedge \phi^{bH} + \tau_{cb}^{bH}\phi^{bV} \wedge \phi^{cH} + \tau_{cb}^{bV}\phi^{bV} \wedge \phi^{cV} - \frac{1}{2}\phi^{bV}(R(X_b^H, X_c^H))\phi^{bH} \wedge \phi^{cH}) \\ &\quad + (\Gamma(\tau_{aa}^{bH})dt + X_c^V(\tau_{aa}^{bH})\phi^{cV} + X_c^H(\tau_{aa}^{bH})\phi^{cH}) \wedge \phi^{bH} \\ &\quad + \tau_{aa}^{bH}(dt \wedge \phi^{bV} + \tau_{cb}^{bH}\phi^{bH} \wedge \phi^{cH} - \tau_{bc}^{bV}\phi^{bV} \wedge \phi^{cH}). \end{aligned}$$

And so,

$$\begin{aligned}
T_a \equiv\ & (r_b(X_b^V(\tau_{aa}^{bH}) - X_b^H(\tau_{aa}^{bV}) + \tau_{aa}^{bH}A_{ab}^{aV} - \tau_{aa}^{bV}A_{ab}^{aH} + \tau_{aa}^{bV}\tau_{bb}^{bH} - \tau_{aa}^{bH}\tau_{bb}^{bV}) \\
& - P_b\tau_{aa}^{bH} + Q_b\tau_{aa}^{bV})\phi^{bV}\wedge\phi^{bH} \\
& + (\Gamma(\tau_{aa}^{bV}) + \tau_{aa}^{bH})dt\wedge\phi^{bV} \\
& + (\Gamma(\tau_{aa}^{bH}) - \lambda_b\tau_{aa}^{bV})dt\wedge\phi^{bH} \\
& + (X_c^V(\tau_{aa}^{bV}) + \tau_{aa}^{bV}A_{ac}^{aV} + \tau_{aa}^{bV}\tau_{cb}^{bV})\phi^{cV}\wedge\phi^{bV} \\
+ & \quad (X_c^H(\tau_{aa}^{bV}) + \tau_{aa}^{bV}A_{ac}^{aH} + \tau_{aa}^{bV}(\tau_{cb}^{bH} - \tau_{bc}^{bH}) + \tau_{aa}^{bH}\tau_{bc}^{bV})\phi^{cH}\wedge\phi^{bV} \\
+ & \quad (X_c^V(\tau_{aa}^{bH}) + \tau_{aa}^{bV}A_{ac}^{aV} + \tau_{aa}^{bH}(\tau_{cb}^{bV} - \tau_{bc}^{bV}) + \tau_{aa}^{bV}\tau_{bc}^{bH})\phi^{cV}\wedge\phi^{bH} \\
+ & \quad (X_c^H(\tau_{aa}^{bH}) + \tau_{aa}^{bH}A_{ac}^{aH} + \tau_{aa}^{bH}\tau_{cb}^{bH} + \tau_{aa}^{bV}\phi^{bV}(R(X_b^H, X_c^H)))\phi^{cH}\wedge\phi^{bH} \\
+ & \quad (\tau_{aa}^{bV}\tau_{cc}^{bH} - \tau_{aa}^{bH}\tau_{cc}^{bV})\phi^{cV}\wedge\phi^{cH}, c\neq a\neq b \text{ (sum on } c\text{)} \pmod{\phi^{aV}, \phi^{aH}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using Jacobi identities (31a), (31b), (34a), (35a), (34b) and (35b) respectively, we have that the coefficients of $dt\wedge\phi^{bV}$, $dt\wedge\phi^{bH}$, $\phi^{cV}\wedge\phi^{bV}$, $\phi^{cH}\wedge\phi^{bV}$, $\phi^{cV}\wedge\phi^{bH}$ and $\phi^{cH}\wedge\phi^{bH}$ in T_a vanish. Therefore the torsion is now

$$\begin{aligned}
T_a \equiv\ & (r_b(X_b^V(\tau_{aa}^{bH}) - X_b^H(\tau_{aa}^{bV}) + \tau_{aa}^{bH}A_{ab}^{aV} - \tau_{aa}^{bV}A_{ab}^{aH} + \tau_{aa}^{bV}\tau_{bb}^{bH} - \tau_{aa}^{bH}\tau_{bb}^{bV}) \\
& - P_b\tau_{aa}^{bH} + Q_b\tau_{aa}^{bV})\phi^{bV}\wedge\phi^{bH} \\
+ & \quad (\tau_{aa}^{bV}\tau_{cc}^{bH} - \tau_{aa}^{bH}\tau_{cc}^{bV})\phi^{cV}\wedge\phi^{cH}, c\neq a\neq b \text{ (sum on } c\text{)} \pmod{\phi^{aV}, \phi^{aH}}
\end{aligned} \tag{60}$$

The torsion must be zero for the existence of solutions, and if it is then, for each $a\neq b$ with $\xi_a^b\neq 0$,

$$d\sigma_a \equiv \tilde{\pi}_a^V\wedge\phi^{aV} + \tilde{\pi}_a^H\wedge\phi^{aH} \pmod{\sigma}.$$

We now examine the conditions for torsion to vanish. By looking at (60), we get:

- If there is only one $a\neq b$ such that $\xi_a^b\neq 0$, i.e. case i), then this remaining T_a vanishes if and only if

$$Q_b = P_bB_b + r_bC, \quad \text{where } B_b = \frac{\tau_{aa}^{bH}}{\tau_{aa}^{bV}} \tag{61}$$

and

$$C = \frac{1}{\tau_{aa}^{bV}}(X_b^H(\tau_{aa}^{bV}) - X_b^V(\tau_{aa}^{bH}) - \tau_{aa}^{bH}A_{ab}^{aV} + \tau_{aa}^{bV}A_{ab}^{aH} - \tau_{aa}^{bV}\tau_{bb}^{bH} + \tau_{aa}^{bH}\tau_{bb}^{bV})$$

- If there exist at least two a_i's such that $\xi_{a_i}^b\neq 0, a_i\neq b$, i.e. case ii), then the conditions for all torsion to vanish are (61) and with

$$B_b = \frac{\tau_{a_ia_i}^{bH}}{\tau_{a_ia_i}^{bV}}, \tag{62}$$

$$C = C_{a_i} = \frac{1}{\tau_{a_ia_i}^{bV}}(X_b^H(\tau_{a_ia_i}^{bV}) - X_b^V(\tau_{a_ia_i}^{bH}) - \tau_{a_ia_i}^{bH}A_{a_ib}^{a_iV} + \tau_{a_ia_i}^{bV}A_{a_ib}^{a_iH} - \tau_{a_ia_i}^{bV}\tau_{bb}^{bH} + \tau_{a_ia_i}^{bH}\tau_{bb}^{bV}), \tag{63}$$

for all such a_i.

In either case i) or ii) the consequence of the choice for Q_b in condition (61) affects σ_b in (56) and hence $d\sigma_b$. Hence by substituting Q_b into $d\sigma_b$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} d\sigma_b &= dr_b \wedge \xi_b^b + r_b d\xi_b^b + dP_b \wedge \phi^{bV} + P_b d\phi^{bV} + d(P_b B_b + r_b C) \wedge \phi^{bH} + (P_b B_b + r_b C) d\phi^{bH} \\ &\equiv (-r_b \xi_b^b - P_b \phi^{bV} - (r_b C + P_b B_b)\phi^{bH}) \wedge \xi_b^b + r_b d\xi_b^b \\ &\quad + dP_b \wedge \phi^{bV} + P_b d\phi^{bV} + dP_b \wedge B_b \phi^{bH} + P_b d(B_b \phi^{bH}) \\ &\quad + C(-r_b \xi_b^b - P_b \phi^{bV} - (r_b C + P_b B_b)\phi^{bH}) \wedge \phi^{bH} + r_b d(C\phi^{bH}) \ (\text{mod } \sigma). \end{aligned} \tag{64}$$

Simplifying (64) we get:

$$\begin{aligned} d\sigma_b \equiv (\pi_b^V + P_b(\xi_b^b + C\phi^{bH})) \wedge (\phi^{bV} + B_b \phi^{bH}) \\ + r_b d(\xi_b^b + C\phi^{bH}) + P_b d(\phi^{bV} + B_b \phi^{bH}) \ (\text{mod } \sigma) \end{aligned} \tag{65}$$

At this point the problem breaks down into two further subcases:

1. If $d(\phi^{bV} + B_b \phi^{bH}) = \kappa \wedge (\phi^{bV} + B_b \phi^{bH})$, for some 1-form κ, then the condition for the existence of non-degenerate solutions is that

$$d(\xi_b^b + C\phi^{bH}) = \beta \wedge (\phi^{bV} + B_b \phi^{bH}), \text{for some 1-form } \beta \tag{66}$$

and then

$$d\sigma_b \equiv \tilde{\pi}_b^V \wedge (\phi^{bV} + B_b \phi^{bH}) \ (\text{mod } \sigma).$$

2. If $d(\phi^{bV} + B_b \phi^{bH}) \neq \kappa \wedge (\phi^{bV} + B_b \phi^{bH})$, then in order to remove torsion we require $r_b d(\xi_b^b + C\phi^{bH}) + P_b d(\phi^{bV} + B_b \phi^{bH}) \equiv 0 \ (\text{mod } \phi^{bV} + B_b \phi^{bH})$. This results in an equation relating P_b to r_b which would fix P_b as a function of r_b, thus we will have lost flexibility in $\pi_b^V = d(P_b)$ to absorb any terms. So in this situation, the problem reduces to finding a solution for P_b in term of r_b to the equation

$$(dP_b + P_b(\xi_b^b + C\phi^{bH})) \wedge (\phi^{bV} + B_b \phi^{bH}) + r_b d(\xi_b^b + C\phi^{bH}) + P_b d(\phi^{bV} + B_b \phi^{bH}) = 0. \tag{67}$$

Thus if there exists a function P_b in term of r_b satisfying equation (67), then we have

$$d\sigma_b \equiv 0 \ (\text{mod } \sigma).$$

Let assume that we are in subcase 1. so that there exists an integrable direction $\alpha_b = \phi^{bV} + B_b \phi^{bH}$ and so assume that $d(\xi_b^b + C\phi^{bH}) = \beta \wedge (\phi^{bV} + B_b \phi^{bH})$, since otherwise there would be no non-degenerate solution. Then we move onto the calculation of the freedom in the solution to the inverse problem for this case, we have

$$d\sigma_b \equiv \tilde{\pi}_b^V \wedge (\phi^{bV} + B_b \phi^{bH}), \tag{68}$$

$$d\sigma_a \equiv \tilde{\pi}_a^V \wedge \phi^{aV} + \tilde{\pi}_a^H \wedge \phi^{aH}. \tag{69}$$

We change the basis $\{\phi^{kV}, \phi^{kH}\}$ to the basis $\{\gamma^{kV}, \gamma^{kH}\}$ using

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma^{1V,H} &= \phi^{1V,H} + \phi^{2V,H} + ... + \phi^{nV,H}, \\ \gamma^{cV,H} &= \phi^{1V,H} - \phi^{cV,H}, \quad c = 2, ..., n. \end{aligned}$$

We then get the optimal tableau:

$$\tilde{\Pi} = \begin{array}{c|cccccccccc} & \gamma^{1V} & \gamma^{1H} & \gamma^{2V} & \gamma^{2H} & ... & \gamma^{bV} & \gamma^{bH} & ... & \gamma^{nV} & \gamma^{nH} \\ \hline \sigma_1 & \tilde{\pi}_1^V & \tilde{\pi}_1^H & \tilde{\pi}_1^V & \tilde{\pi}_1^H & ... & \tilde{\pi}_1^V & \tilde{\pi}_1^H & ... & \tilde{\pi}_1^V & \tilde{\pi}_1^H \\ \sigma_2 & \tilde{\pi}_2^V & \tilde{\pi}_2^H & -\tilde{\pi}_2^V & -\tilde{\pi}_2^H & ... & 0 & 0 & ... & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & ... & \vdots & \vdots & ... & \vdots & \vdots \\ \sigma_b & \tilde{\pi}_b^V & B_b\tilde{\pi}_b^V & 0 & 0 & ... & -\tilde{\pi}_b^V & -B_b\tilde{\pi}_b^V & ... & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & ... & \vdots & \vdots & ... & \vdots & \vdots \\ \sigma_n & \tilde{\pi}_n^V & \tilde{\pi}_n^H & 0 & 0 & ... & 0 & 0 & ... & -\tilde{\pi}_n^V & -\tilde{\pi}_n^H \end{array}$$

This tableau gives Cartan characters: $s_1 = n$, $s_2 = n-1$, $s_i = 0$ for $i \geq 3$.
The final step is to check for involution. To do this, we let t be the number of ways that $\tilde{\pi}_a^V$, $\tilde{\pi}_a^H$ and $\tilde{\pi}_b^V$ can be altered such that (68) and (69) are unchanged. It can be seen that if we write:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\pi}_a^V &= \tilde{\pi}_a^V + f_a^1\phi^{aV} + f_a^2\phi^{aH}, \\ \bar{\pi}_a^H &= \tilde{\pi}_a^H + f_a^3\phi^{aH} + f_a^2\phi^{aV}, \\ \bar{\pi}_b^V &= \tilde{\pi}_b^V + f_b(\phi^{bV} + B_b\phi^{bH}), \end{aligned}$$

then (68) and (69) would be unchanged if we replace $\tilde{\pi}_a^{V,H}$ by $\bar{\pi}_a^{V,H}$ and $\tilde{\pi}_b^V$ by $\bar{\pi}_b^V$. Thus for each $a \neq b$ we have three degrees of freedom in adding terms to $\tilde{\pi}_a^V$ and $\tilde{\pi}_a^H$, giving $3(n-1)$ degrees of freedom for all $\tilde{\pi}_a^{V,H}$. We have only 1 degree of freedom in adding terms to π_b^V. Therefore in this case, $t = 3(n-1)+1 = 3n-2$, which equal to $s_1 + 2s_2$ as required for involution. So the solution depends on $n-1$ functions of two variables in this case.

Now we consider subcase 2. where there is no integrable direction α_b, and assume that there is solution for equation (67), so we have

$$d\sigma_b \equiv 0, \tag{70}$$
$$d\sigma_a \equiv \tilde{\pi}_a^V \wedge \phi^{aV} + \tilde{\pi}_a^H \wedge \phi^{aH}. \tag{71}$$

The tableau corresponding with this system is

$$\tilde{\Pi} = \begin{array}{c|cccccccccc} & \gamma^{1V} & \gamma^{1H} & \gamma^{2V} & \gamma^{2H} & ... & \gamma^{bV} & \gamma^{bH} & ... & \gamma^{nV} & \gamma^{nH} \\ \hline \sigma_1 & \tilde{\pi}_1^V & \tilde{\pi}_1^H & \tilde{\pi}_1^V & \tilde{\pi}_1^H & ... & \tilde{\pi}_1^V & \tilde{\pi}_1^H & ... & \tilde{\pi}_1^V & \tilde{\pi}_1^H \\ \sigma_2 & \tilde{\pi}_2^V & \tilde{\pi}_2^H & -\tilde{\pi}_2^V & -\tilde{\pi}_2^H & ... & 0 & 0 & ... & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & ... & \vdots & \vdots & ... & \vdots & \vdots \\ \sigma_b & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & ... & 0 & 0 & ... & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & ... & \vdots & \vdots & ... & \vdots & \vdots \\ \sigma_n & \tilde{\pi}_n^V & \tilde{\pi}_n^H & 0 & 0 & ... & 0 & 0 & ... & -\tilde{\pi}_n^V & -\tilde{\pi}_n^H \end{array}$$

This tableau gives Cartan characters: $s_1 = n-1$, $s_2 = n-1$, $s_i = 0$ for $i \geq 3$.
The final step is to check for involution. To do this, we let t equal the number of ways that $\tilde{\pi}_a^V$ and $\tilde{\pi}_a^H$ can be altered such that (70) and (71) is unchanged. It can be seen that if we write

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\pi}_a^V &= \tilde{\pi}_a^V + f_a^1\phi^{aV} + f_a^2\phi^{aH}, \\ \bar{\pi}_a^H &= \tilde{\pi}_a^H + f_a^3\phi^{aH} + f_a^2\phi^{aV} \end{aligned}$$

then (70) and (71) would be unchanged if we replace $\tilde{\pi}_a^{V,H}$ by $\bar{\pi}_a^{V,H}$. Thus for each $a \neq b$ we have three degrees of freedom in adding terms to $\tilde{\pi}_a^V$ and $\tilde{\pi}_a^H$, giving $3(n-1)$ degrees of freedom for all $\tilde{\pi}_a^{V,H}$. Therefore in this case, $t = 3(n-1)$, which equals $s_1 + 2s_2$ as required for involution. So the solution depends on $n-1$ functions of two variables in this case.
We note here that we follow Anderson and Thompson in reporting only the highest order term in the degree of freedom.

We now summarise the results for the inverse problem of this class of second-order ODE by the following theorem.

Theorem 4.1. *In the case where $\mathbf{\Phi}$ is diagonalisable with distinct eigenvalues and exactly one non-integrable co-distribution there are three possibilities.*

- *If $\langle\tilde{\Sigma}^1\rangle$ is not a differential ideal, then there is no non-degenerate solution.*
- *Suppose $\langle\tilde{\Sigma}^1\rangle$ is a differential ideal, and there is an integrable direction in the non-integrable co-distribution. If* (66) *holds, then the solution depends on $n-1$ arbitrary function of* 2 *variables. If* (66) *does not hold, then there is no solution.*
- *Suppose $\langle\tilde{\Sigma}^1\rangle$ is a differential ideal, and there is no integrable direction in the non-integrable co-distribution. If* (67) *admits a solution, then the solution depends on $n-1$ arbitrary function of* 2 *variables. If* (67) *does not admit a solution, then there is no solution.*

5 Examples

In this section, we shall provide a number of examples to illustrate our results in previous section. These examples cover most of the subcases of one non-integrable eigen co-distribution case. Besides, we also give two examples (example 5 and 6) of the case $n = 3$ with two non-integrable co-distributions. We note that while example 5 may be considered as an extension of Douglas's case IIa2, example 6 may be of Douglas' case IIIa.

Example 1. This is an example of non-existence for $n = 2$ because there is not a differential ideal at step 1, see theorem 3.12. This is in Douglas' case IIIb. Consider the system

$$\ddot{x} = \dot{y},\ \ddot{y} = y \tag{72}$$

on an appropriate domain. This example was considered by Prince [17] who showed by direct calculation that no non-degenerate solution exists. $\mathbf{\Phi}$ is given by

$$\mathbf{\Phi} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The eigenvalues and corresponding eigenvectors are:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \quad &\text{and} \quad X_1 := (a, 0), \\ -1 \quad &\text{and} \quad X_2 := (0, b) \end{aligned}$$

for some parameters a, b. We calculate $\hat{\nabla}_\Gamma X_1^V$ and $\hat{\nabla}_\Gamma X_2^V$ to find $\tau_j^{i\Gamma}$, $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$. In this case, by choosing $a = 1$ we get $\hat{\nabla}_\Gamma X_1^V = 0$, i.e. $\tau_1^{1\Gamma} = 0 = \tau_1^{2\Gamma}$, but there is no $b \neq 0$ such that $\hat{\nabla}_\Gamma X_2^V = 0$, in particular we have $\tau_2^{1\Gamma} = -\frac{b}{2}$ and $\tau_2^{2\Gamma} = \frac{\Gamma(b)}{b}$. This immediately implies Σ^1 is

not a differential ideal as $\tau_2^{1\Gamma} \neq 0$ by corollary 3.8. The functions τ_{ij}^{kV} and τ_{ij}^{kH} are also easy to compute and apart from other $\tau_{ij}^{kV,H}$ we have

$$\tau_{22}^{1V} = 0, \quad \tau_{11}^{2V} = 0.$$

So this is the case where $\mathbf{\Phi}$ is diagonalisable with distinct eigenvalues and the eigen co-distribution $Sp\{\phi^{2V}, \phi^{2H}\}$ is integrable and $Sp\{\phi^{1V}, \phi^{1H}\}$ is non-integrable since $\tau_2^{1\Gamma} \neq 0$. Therefore there is no non-degenerate solution of the inverse problem since $\langle \tilde{\Sigma}^1 \rangle$ is not a differential ideal by the theorem 3.12.

Example 2. This is another non-existence example, this time for $n = 4$. The example is in subcase i) but fails the condition of the further subcase 1. Consider the system

$$\ddot{x} = x, \ \ddot{y} = 0, \ \ddot{z} = \dot{y}/\dot{z}, \ \ddot{w} = \dot{w} \tag{73}$$

on an appropriate domain. We find

$$\mathbf{\Phi} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{\dot{y}}{4\dot{z}^3} & \frac{3\dot{y}^2}{4\dot{z}^4} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{4} \end{pmatrix}.$$

In this case it is possible to choose the eigenvectors X_a such that $\hat{\nabla}_\Gamma X_a^V = 0$ and so the eigenvalues and corresponding scaled eigenvectors are

$$\begin{aligned} -1 \quad &\text{and} \quad X_1 = (1,0,0,0), \\ 0 \quad &\text{and} \quad X_2 = (0,3\dot{y},\dot{z},0), \\ \frac{3\dot{y}^2}{4\dot{z}^4} \quad &\text{and} \quad X_3 = (0,0,\frac{1}{\sqrt{\dot{z}}},0), \\ -\frac{1}{4} \quad &\text{and} \quad X_4 = (0,0,0,\sqrt{\dot{w}}). \end{aligned}$$

The non-zero τ_{bc}^{aV} and τ_{bc}^{aH} are

$$\tau_{22}^{2V} = 3, \quad \tau_{22}^{3V} = -2\dot{z}\sqrt{\dot{z}}, \quad \tau_{22}^{3H} = \frac{3\dot{y}}{\sqrt{\dot{z}}}, \quad \tau_{23}^{3V} = -\frac{1}{2},$$

$$\tau_{32}^{3V} = 1, \quad \tau_{33}^{3V} = -\frac{1}{2\dot{z}\sqrt{\dot{z}}}, \quad \tau_{33}^{3H} = -\frac{3\dot{y}}{4\dot{z}\sqrt{\dot{z}}}, \quad \tau_{44}^{4V} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\dot{w}}}, \quad \tau_{44}^{4H} = \frac{1}{4\sqrt{\dot{w}}}.$$

These results show we are in the case where $\mathbf{\Phi}$ is diagonalisable with distinct eigenvalues and eigen co-distributions $Sp\{\phi^{1V}, \phi^{1H}\}$, $Sp\{\phi^{2V}, \phi^{2H}\}$ and $Sp\{\phi^{4V}, \phi^{4H}\}$ are integrable and $Sp\{\phi^{3V}, \phi^{3H}\}$ is non-integrable and $\langle \tilde{\Sigma}^1 \rangle$ is a differential ideal. In particular, we are in the subcase i) where there is only $\xi_2^3 \neq 0$ corresponding to $\tau_{22}^{3V} \neq 0$. We also find that the condition

$$X_2^V(B_3) = B_3 \tau_{32}^{3V} - \tau_{32}^{3H},$$

where $X_2^V = 3\dot{y}\frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{y}} + \dot{z}\frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{z}}$ and $B_3 = \frac{\tau_{22}^{3H}}{\tau_{22}^{3V}} = -\frac{3\dot{y}}{2\dot{z}^2}$ is satisfied for an integrable direction $\alpha_3 = \phi^{3V} + B_3\phi^{3H}$ in the non-integrable co-distribution. The existence of a non-degenerate solution depends on whether or not,

$$d(\xi_3^3 + C\phi^{3H}) = \kappa \wedge \alpha_3.$$

Using the formula for C from (63), we get

$$\begin{aligned} C = C_2 &= \frac{1}{\tau_{22}^{3V}}(X_3^H(\tau_{22}^{3V}) - X_3^V(\tau_{22}^{3H}) - \tau_{22}^{3H}A_{23}^{2V} + \tau_{22}^{3V}A_{23}^{2H} - \tau_{22}^{3V}\tau_{33}^{3H} + \tau_{22}^{3H}\tau_{33}^{3V}) \\ &= \frac{3\dot{y}}{4\dot{z}^3\sqrt{\dot{z}}}(\dot{z}^2 - 1) \end{aligned}$$

and furthermore we have $d\xi_3^3 = d(2\phi^{2V}) = 0$. Therefore there is no regular solution since $d(C\phi^{3H}) \neq \kappa \wedge \alpha_3$.

Example 3. This example is from Aldridge et al [2] which was modified from one of Douglas' examples in [9]. However, in their calculation only the differential ideal step was applied to find the differential ideal. Then they had to use the differential conditions of the original Helmholtz conditions to determine the existence of the solution. By following the scheme given at the end of the previous section we quickly conclude that the solution of this system depends on two functions of 2 variables each. This example is the candidate for our case i) where only one $\tau_{aa}^{bV} \neq 0$ for $a \neq b$.
Consider the system

$$\ddot{x} = -x,\ \ddot{y} = y^{-1}(1 + \dot{y}^2 + \dot{z}^2),\ \ddot{z} = 0 \tag{74}$$

on an appropriate domain. Denoting the derivatives by u, v, w, we find

$$\mathbf{\Phi} = \frac{1}{y^2}\begin{pmatrix} y^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2(1+w^2) & -2vw \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Eigenvalues and corresponding eigenvectors X_a chosen so that $\hat{\nabla}_\Gamma X_a^V = 0$ are

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1 = 0 \quad &\text{and} \quad X_1 = (0, vw, 1+w^2), \\ \lambda_2 = 1 \quad &\text{and} \quad X_2 = (1, 0, 0), \\ \lambda_3 = 2y^{-2}(1+w^2) \quad &\text{and} \quad X_3 = (0, y, 0). \end{aligned}$$

The only non-zero functions τ_{bc}^{aV} and τ_{bc}^{aH} are

$$\tau_{11}^{3V} = \frac{v}{y}, \quad \tau_{11}^{1V} = 2w, \quad \tau_{31}^{3V} = w, \quad \tau_{11}^{3H} = -\frac{1+w^2}{y^2}.$$

These results show that our system is in the case that $\mathbf{\Phi}$ is diagonalisable with distinct eigenvalues and the eigen co-distributions $Sp\{\phi^{1V}, \phi^{1H}\}$ and $Sp\{\phi^{2V}, \phi^{2H}\}$ are integrable and the third one is not and $\langle\tilde{\Sigma}^1\rangle$ is a differential ideal. In particular, this is in the subcase i) where there is only one $\tau_{11}^{3V} \neq 0$. Checking the condition for an integrable direction $\alpha_3 = \phi^{3V} + B_3\phi^{3H}$ we get

$$X_1\left(\frac{\tau_{11}^{3H}}{\tau_{11}^{3V}}\right) = \frac{\tau_{11}^{3H}}{\tau_{11}^{3V}}\tau_{31}^{3V} - \tau_{31}^{3H},$$

where $X_1^V = vw\frac{\partial}{\partial v} + (1+w^2)\frac{\partial}{\partial w}$, holds. Now whether or not the system has solutions depends on the remaining condition:

$$d(\xi_3^3 + C\phi^{3H}) = \kappa \wedge \alpha_3.$$

Using the formula for C from (63), we get $C = 0$ and furthermore we have $d\xi_3^3 = 0$. Therefore the solution of the system depends on 2 functions of 2 variables.

Example 4. This example is in case ii) and the multiplier depends on two arbitrary functions each of two variables and one function of one variable.
Consider the system

$$\ddot{x} = z,\ \ddot{y} = x\dot{x} + z\dot{z},\ \ddot{z} = x \tag{75}$$

on an appropriate domain. Again denoting the derivatives by u, v, w, we find

$$\mathbf{\Phi} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 \\ -\frac{u}{2} & 0 & -\frac{w}{2} \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In this case it is possible to choose the eigenvectors X_a such that $\hat{\nabla}_\Gamma X_a^V = 0$ and so the eigenvalues and chosen corresponding eigenvectors are:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \quad & \text{and} \quad (0,1,0), \\ 1 \quad & \text{and} \quad (-2, u-w, 2), \\ -1 \quad & \text{and} \quad (2, u+w, 2). \end{aligned}$$

The τ_{bc}^{aV} and τ_{bc}^{aH} are zero except for

$$\tau_{22}^{1V} = -4, \quad \tau_{33}^{1V} = 4.$$

These results show that our system is in the case that $\mathbf{\Phi}$ is diagonalisable with distinct eigenvalues and eigen co-distribution $\{\phi^{1V}, \phi^{1H}\}$ is non-integrable and $Sp\{\phi^{2V}, \phi^{2H}\}$ and $Sp\{\phi^{3V}, \phi^{3H}\}$ are integrable and $\langle\tilde{\Sigma}^1\rangle$ is a differential ideal. We are in subcase ii). In addition, the ratio condition (62),

$$\frac{\tau_{22}^{1H}}{\tau_{22}^{1V}} = 0 = \frac{\tau_{33}^{1H}}{\tau_{33}^{1V}}$$

and the condition (63), $C_2 = 0 = C_3$ are satisfied. We can conclude that there is an integrable direction inside the non-integrable co-distribution, which is just ϕ^{1V}. Furthermore we have $\xi_1^1 = 0$ and so $d(\xi_1^1 + C\phi^{1H}) = 0$. Therefore the conclusion is the solution of the system depends upon 2 arbitrary functions of 2 variables (plus one function of one variable).

In order to identify the structure of the r's, we return to equation (54) and (55). Since in this case we know that $Sp\{\phi^{1V}, \phi^{1H}\}$ is non-integrable and the other two are integrable and ϕ^{1V} is integrable direction, we have

$$\begin{aligned} dr_1 + r_1\xi_1^1 &= -P_1\phi^{1V} - Q_1\phi^{1H}, \\ dr_2 + r_1\xi_2^1 + r_2\xi_2^2 &= -P_2\phi^{2V} - Q_2\phi^{2H}, \\ dr_3 + r_1\xi_3^1 + r_3\xi_3^3 &= -P_3\phi^{3V} - Q_3\phi^{3H}, \end{aligned}$$

where P_1, Q_1, P_2, Q_2, P_3 and Q_3 are arbitrary functions. As we know $Q_1 = P_1B_1 + r_1C = 0$, and computing ξ_j^i's using (53) we get $\xi_1^1 = \xi_2^2 = \xi_3^3 = 0$, $\xi_2^1 = -4\phi^{1V}$ and $\xi_3^1 = 4\phi^{1V}$. So we have

$$dr_1 = -P_1\phi^{1V}, \tag{76a}$$

$$dr_2 - 4r_1\phi^{1V} = -P_2\phi^{2V} - Q_2\phi^{2H}, \tag{76b}$$

$$dr_3 + 4r_1\phi^{1V} = -P_3\phi^{3V} - Q_3\phi^{3H}. \tag{76c}$$

Equation (76a) implies $r_1 = G_1(\zeta)$ where G_1 is an arbitrary function of ζ with $d\zeta \in Sp\{\phi^{1V}\}$. Since $\phi^{1V} = \frac{1}{2}(-d(uw) + 2dv - xdx - zdz)$, putting $d\zeta = -d(uw) + 2dv - xdx - zdz$ we have $\zeta = 2v - uw - \frac{x^2}{2} - \frac{z^2}{2}$. Now substituting r_1 into equation (76b) we get

$$dr_2 = 2G_1(\zeta)d\zeta - P_2\phi^{2V} - Q_2\phi^{2H}. \tag{77}$$

Since $Sp\{\phi^{2V}, \phi^{2H}\}$ is integrable, we know that there exist functions u_2^1 and u_2^2 such that $Sp\{du_2^1, du_2^2\} = Sp\{\phi^{2V}, \phi^{2H}\}$. As $\phi^{2V} = \frac{1}{4}\left(d(w-u) + (z-x)dt\right)$ and $\phi^{2H} = \frac{1}{4}\left(d(z-x) + (u-w)dt\right)$, putting

$$du_2^i = f_2^i(d(w-u) + (z-x)dt) + g_2^i(d(z-x) + (u-w)dt),$$

and for $f_2^1 = 2(w-u)$, $g_2^1 = 2(z-x)$, $f_2^2 = -\frac{z-x}{(w-u)^2+(z-x)^2}$ and $g_2^2 = \frac{w-u}{(w-u)^2+(z-x)^2}$ we get

$$u_2^1 = (w-u)^2 + (z-x)^2$$
$$u_2^2 = \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{z-x}{w-u}\right) - t$$

Now equation (77) gives

$$r_2 = 2G(\zeta) + \tilde{r}_2(u_2^1, u_2^2),$$

where $G' = G_1$ and $\tilde{r}_2$ is an arbitrary function of u_2^1 and u_2^2.

Similarly, $Sp\{\phi^{3V}, \phi^{3H}\}$ is integrable with $\phi^{3V} = \frac{1}{4}\left(d(u+w) - (x+z)dt\right)$ and $\phi^{3H} = \frac{1}{4}\left(d(x+z) - (u+w)dt\right)$. We find $u_3^1 = (u+w)^2 - (x+z)^2$ and $u_3^2 = \tanh^{-1}\left(\frac{x+z}{u+w}\right) - t$ with $Sp\{du_3^1, du_3^2\} = Sp\{\phi^{3V}, \phi^{3H}\}$. This and equation (76c) gives

$$r_3 = -2G(\zeta) + \tilde{r}_3(u_3^1, u_3^2).$$

To view the multiplier g_{ab}, we translate the r_{ab} into g_{ab} using (14). We get,

$$\begin{aligned}
g_{11} &= \frac{w^2}{4}r_1 + \frac{1}{16}(r_2 + r_3),\\
g_{22} &= r_1,\\
g_{33} &= \frac{u^2}{4}r_1 + \frac{1}{16}(r_2 + r_3),\\
g_{12} = g_{21} &= -\frac{w}{2}r_1,\\
g_{13} = g_{31} &= \frac{uw}{4}r_1 - \frac{1}{16}(r_2 + r_3),\\
g_{23} = g_{32} &= -\frac{u}{2}r_1.
\end{aligned}$$

And thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
g_{11} &= \frac{w^2}{4}G_1(\zeta) + \frac{1}{16}(\tilde{r}_2(u_2^1, u_2^2) + \tilde{r}_3(u_3^1, u_3^2)),\\
g_{22} &= G_1(\zeta),\\
g_{33} &= \frac{u^2}{4}G_1(\zeta) + \frac{1}{16}(\tilde{r}_2(u_2^1, u_2^2) + \tilde{r}_3(u_3^1, u_3^2)),\\
g_{12} = g_{21} &= -\frac{w}{2}G_1(\zeta),\\
g_{13} = g_{31} &= \frac{uw}{4}G_1(\zeta) - \frac{1}{16}(\tilde{r}_2(u_2^1, u_2^2) + \tilde{r}_3(u_3^1, u_3^2)),\\
g_{23} = g_{32} &= -\frac{u}{2}G_1(\zeta).
\end{aligned}$$

In summary, the most general Cartan two-form for this example is

$$d\theta_L = \frac{1}{2}G'(\zeta)d\zeta \wedge \phi^{1H} + \frac{1}{32}\left[(2G(\zeta) + \tilde{r}_2(u_2^1, u_2^2))du_2^1 \wedge du_2^2 + (-2G(\zeta) + \tilde{r}_3(u_3^1, u_3^2))du_3^1 \wedge du_2^3\right]$$

While this form is beguiling it is not the generic solution for this class.

We now introduce two $n = 3$ examples with two non-integrable eigen co-distributions. These correspond to two cases of differential ideal step in which the system of ordinary differential equations may possibly have non-degenerate solutions. In the first example, the differential ideal step finishes at step 1, i.e. $\tilde{\Sigma}^1$ generates a differential ideal which demonstrates that the number of non-integrable co-distributions is not equal to the number of steps in the differential process as discussed following Theorem 3.12. The second example is for the case that $\langle\tilde{\Sigma}^2\rangle$ is a differential ideal. A full analysis for these cases will be in our forthcoming paper in which we present a classification for the $n = 3$ case.

Example 5. Consider the system

$$\ddot{x} = x\dot{z},\ \ddot{y} = x,\ \ddot{z} = x \tag{78}$$

on an appropriate domain. Again denoting the derivatives by u, v, w, we find

$$\mathbf{\Phi} = \begin{pmatrix} -w & 0 & \frac{u}{2} \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Eigenvalues and corresponding eigenvectors X_a chosen so that $\hat{\nabla}_\Gamma X_a^V = 0$ are

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_1 = \sqrt{-2u + w^2} - w \qquad &\text{and} \qquad X_1 = (-\sqrt{-2u + w^2} + w, 2, 2),\\
\lambda_2 = -\sqrt{-2u + w^2} - w \qquad &\text{and} \qquad X_2 = (\sqrt{-2u + w^2} + w, 2, 2),\\
\lambda_3 = 0 \qquad &\text{and} \qquad X_3 = (0, 1, 0).
\end{aligned}$$

The non-zero functions τ_{bc}^{aV} and τ_{bc}^{aH} are

$$\tau_{11}^{1V} = -\tau_{11}^{2V} = \frac{\sqrt{-2u + w^2} - w}{2(2u - w^2)}, \quad \tau_{11}^{1H} = -\tau_{11}^{2H} = \frac{x}{2(2u - w^2)},$$

$$\tau_{12}^{1V} = -\tau_{12}^{2V} = \frac{3\sqrt{-2u+w^2}+w}{2(2u-w^2)}, \quad \tau_{12}^{1H} = -\tau_{12}^{2H} = \frac{-x}{2(2u-w^2)},$$
$$\tau_{21}^{1V} = -\tau_{21}^{2V} = \frac{3\sqrt{-2u+w^2}-w}{2(2u-w^2)}, \quad \tau_{21}^{1H} = -\tau_{21}^{2H} = \frac{x}{2(2u-w^2)},$$
$$\tau_{22}^{1V} = -\tau_{22}^{2V} = \frac{\sqrt{-2u+w^2}+w}{2(2u-w^2)}, \quad \tau_{22}^{1H} = -\tau_{22}^{2H} = \frac{-x}{2(2u-w^2)}.$$

These results show that our system is in the case that $\mathbf{\Phi}$ is diagonalisable with distinct eigenvalues and the two co-distributions $Sp\{\phi^{1V}, \phi^{1H}\}$ and $Sp\{\phi^{2V}, \phi^{2H}\}$ are non-integrable but the differential ideal step finishes at step 1, that is $\tilde{\Sigma}^1$ generates a differential ideal. Further examination shows that the solution depends on one arbitrary function of two unknowns as we will show in the future paper.

Example 6. Consider the system

$$\ddot{x} = zt, \ \ddot{y} = 0, \ \ddot{z} = x \tag{79}$$

on an appropriate domain. Again denoting the derivatives by u, v, w, we find

$$\mathbf{\Phi} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -t \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Eigenvalues and corresponding eigenvectors X_a are

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1 = \sqrt{t} \quad & \text{and} \quad X_1 = (-\sqrt{t}, 0, 1), \\ \lambda_2 = -\sqrt{t} \quad & \text{and} \quad X_2 = (\sqrt{t}, 0, 1), \\ \lambda_3 = 0 \quad & \text{and} \quad X_3 = (0, 1, 0). \end{aligned}$$

The structure functions τ's are zero except for

$$\tau_1^{1\Gamma} = \tau_2^{2\Gamma} = -\tau_2^{1\Gamma} = -\tau_1^{2\Gamma} = \frac{1}{4t}.$$

These results show that our system is in the case that $\mathbf{\Phi}$ is diagonalisable with distinct eigenvalues and the co-distributions $Sp\{\phi^{1V}, \phi^{1H}\}$ and $Sp\{\phi^{2V}, \phi^{2H}\}$ are non-integrable and the third one is integrable and $\tilde{\Sigma}^1$ does not generate a differential ideal. Examining further we get,

$$d(\omega^1 - \omega^2) = \frac{1}{4t} dt \wedge (\omega^1 - \omega^2),$$

and so $\langle \tilde{\Sigma}^2 \rangle$ is a differential ideal. The Cartan two-form for this example is

$$\omega = \frac{G}{\sqrt[4]{t}}(\omega^1 - \omega^2) + \tilde{r}_3(u_3^1, u_3^2)\omega^3,$$

where G is a constant, and $\tilde{r}_3$ is an arbitrary function of two variables $u_3^1 := y - vt$ and $u_3^2 := v$.

6 Conclusion

We finish this paper with a new proposal for the classification scheme for the inverse problem in dimension n:

A. $\mathbf{\Phi} = \lambda I_n$. This is equivalent to $\langle \Sigma^0 \rangle$ being a differential ideal (see proposition 3.5).

B. $\mathbf{\Phi}$ is diagonalisable with distinct eigenvalues (real or complex). Further subcases will be divided according to the integrability of the lifted two-dimensional eigen co-distributions of $\mathbf{\Phi}$ i.e. q co-distributions are non-integrable and $n-q$ are integrable. According to our theorem 3.12, if up to and including $\langle \tilde{\Sigma}^q \rangle$ there is no differential ideal, then there is no non-degenerate multiplier. Hence, for each q, the subcases to be considered are that a differential ideal is generated at step 1, step 2,..., up to step q. Subsidiary to this is the consideration of integrable directions within non-integrable eigen co-distributions.

C. $\mathbf{\Phi}$ is diagonalisable with repeated eigenvalues. Further subdivision according to integrability will be similar to case B above.

D. $\mathbf{\Phi}$ is not diagonalisable. Further subdivision depends on the integrability of normal forms of $\mathbf{\Phi}$.

We invite the reader who has persevered thus far to compare this scheme to the geometric translation of Douglas's scheme for $n = 2$ to be found in [7]. If that scheme was translated into EDS terms the differential ideal conditions would be followed by integrability conditions on the eigen co-distributions. In the light of theorem 3.12 and our examples we maintain that the integrability of the eigen co-distributions must be considered first.

Acknowledgements

Thoan Do gratefully acknowledges receipt of a Vietnamese government MOET-VIED scholarship and scholarship support from La Trobe University, and the hospitality of the Australian Mathematical Sciences Institute. Both authors thank Willy Sarlet for useful discussions and his continuing interest. We thanks two anonymous referees for their helpful suggestions.

References

[1] J.E. Aldridge, *Aspects of the Inverse Problem in the Calculus of Variations* Ph.D. Thesis, La Trobe University, Australia (2003).

[2] J.E. Aldridge, G.E. Prince, W. Sarlet and G. Thompson. An EDS approach to the inverse problem in the calculus of variations, *J. Math. Phys.* **47** (2006) 103508 (22 pages).

[3] I. Anderson and G. Thompson, The inverse problem of the calculus of variations for ordinary differential equations, *Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc.* **98** No. 473 (1992).

[4] R.L. Bryant, S.S. Chern, R.B. Gardner, H.L. Goldschmidt and P.A. Griffiths, *Exterior Differential Systems* (Springer, Berlin) (1991).

[5] M. Crampin, G.E. Prince, W. Sarlet and G. Thompson, The inverse problem of the calculus of variations: separable systems, *Acta Appl. Math.* **57** (1999) 239–254.

[6] M. Crampin, G.E. Prince and G. Thompson. A geometric version of the Helmholtz conditions in time dependent Lagrangian dynamics, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **17** (1984) 1437–1447.

[7] M. Crampin, W. Sarlet, E. Martínez, G. B. Byrnes and G. E. Prince. Toward a geometrical understanding of Douglas's solution of the inverse problem in the calculus of variations. *Inverse Problems* **10** (1994), 245-260.

[8] T. Do and G.E. Prince. An intrinsic and exterior form of the Bianchi identities. *submitted* (2014).

[9] J. Douglas, Solution of the inverse problem of the calculus of variations, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **50** (1941) 71–128.

[10] J. Grifone and Z. Muzsnay, *Variational principles for second-order differential equations*, World Scientific (2000).

[11] H. Helmholtz. Über der physikalische Bedeutung des Princips der kleinsten Wirkung, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **100**, (1887), 137–166.

[12] A. Hirsch. Die Existenzbedingungen des verallgemeinterten kinetischen Potentialen, *Math. Ann.* **50** (1898), 429–441.

[13] M. Jerie and G.E. Prince, Jacobi fields and linear connections for arbitrary second order ODE's, *J. Geom. Phys.* **43** (2002) 351–370.

[14] O. Krupková and G.E. Prince, Second order ordinary differential equation in jet bundles and the inverse problem of the calculus of variation in: *Handbook of Global Analysis*, edited by D. Krupka and D. Saunders, Elsevier 2008.

[15] E. Massa and E. Pagani, Jet bundle geometry, dynamical connections, and the inverse problem of Lagrangian mechanics, *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré, Phys. Theor.* **61** (1994) 17–62.

[16] G. Morandi, C. Ferrario, G. Lo Vecchio, G. Marmo and C. Rubano. The inverse problem in the calculus of variations and the geometry of the tangent bundle. *Phys. Rep.* **188** (1990), 147–284.

[17] G.E. Prince. The inverse problem in the calculus of variations and its ramifications in *Geometric Approaches to Differential Equations* ed. P. Vassiliou. Lecture Notes of the Australian Mathematical Society. CUP (2000).

[18] G.E. Prince and D.M. King. The inverse problem in the calculus of variations: nonexistence of Lagrangians *pp* 131–140 in *Differential Geometric methods on Mechanics and Field theory: Volume in Honour of Willy Sarlet*, edited by F.Cantrijn and B. Langerock, Gent, Academia Press (2007).

[19] W. Sarlet, The Helmholtz conditions revisited. A new approach to the inverse problem of Lagrangian dynamics, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **15** (1982) 1503–1517.

[20] W. Sarlet, M. Crampin and E. Martínez, The integrability conditions in the inverse problem of the calculus of variations for second-order ordinary differential equations, *Acta Appl. Math.* **54** (1998) 233–273.

[21] W. Sarlet, G. Thompson and G.E. Prince, The inverse problem of the calculus of variations: the use of geometrical calculus in Douglas's analysis, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **354** (2002) 2897–2919.

[22] W. Sarlet, A. Vandecasteele, F. Cantrijn and E. Martínez, Derivations of forms along a map: the framework for time–dependent second–order equations, *Diff. Geom. Applic.* **5** (1995) 171–203.

[23] N. Ya. Sonin, On the definition of maximal and minimal properties, *Warsaw Univ. Izvestiya***1–2**18861–68 (in Russian)